Day 50026 mg 500215499 Filed 10/02/15 Entered 10/13/15 12:48:45 Main Document AD 6277 C3A-137 Pg 1 of 81
Po Box 32200 Stockton Ca 95213

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

W R

General Mator ICC

Control Switch Citigation

Darry Dungnor

Plantiff

General Motors Et al

Defendants

Ear Declatory Relief

The New GM Attests and Declares it is not an accessory to any misconduct or crime Cam. Hich by the old GM Detendents Notify and ordered the Defendants to cooperate according to law with all Discovery requests by plaintiff to New Delendants (New GM) Per Penal Code 135 of California

COPTODE DO COSTOPHY FILE PONDE/15 Extenço 2001 2/15 16573 \$54 Main Documents

PG 2 of 81

1/2 (103 S C + 1660 75 1 87 2d 675 5/1 rod)

V Burns (1976) 427 65 347 373 (96 9 c +

2673 49 1 (2 2d 542 The Deprovents on of

The Constitutional Kight will cause

irrepairable harm by contoed suffering for
a wrong ful Conviction of Some one Actally

Mocent with contined reacception

I occlose under puralty of perjury The largony

9/22/15 DDe

09-50026-mg Doc 13499, Filed 10/02/15 Entered 10/13/15 12:48:45 Main Document Pg 3 of 81

PO BOX 32000

Stock to a Ca 95213

ONITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
IN RE
GUERAL Motors (IC Cuse 09-50026 (REG)
CONITION SUNTEN Integetion!
Darryl Dimmare
Plannt of
V
Corrol Motor Et al
Defendants

Notice of Pending Rélated Case

Related Case Onlas 6.PC PCI Related Case Corn eir Court of Appeals writ of Habres

alorlo solvolo

09-50026-mg Doc 13499 Filed 10/02/15 Entered 10/13/15 12:48:45 Main Document Pg 4 of 81 Pg 4 of 81 Po らった ファン・ン Stockton Ca 95213

ONITED STATES BANKFURTEY COURT

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEWYORK

IN RE

General Motors CIC

Ignition Switch Citigation

Darryl Durenore

Plaintiff

General Motors et al

Defendants

objection To

schiebleing order

this law soit pertains to Plantills wrongful conviction in which plantill Seek boarstitutional Mendated Discovery from Defendants as to which he has not been able to obtain Concerning actual inscerce Claims in The Southern District of California Case 004197 GPC-PCL

19-50026-mg poc 13499 Filed 10/02/15 Entered 10/13/15 12:48:45, Main (Pocument The Detendent's No Foto of 81 State Toxt Case 045 638 Dursnave U GMC Cobel Viking Ct al in Solaw County Court House State of California in order To Secure Constitutionally Mundated Discovery to support his Claims of actual inscerce in the 9th cir in order to obtain a COA and Brief the issues

Plaint. It objects to the schedule order
Because he is a Pro se Litigant, incorrected
with Physical Disibilities requireing assistance
to Dress transfer Bath it being extremly
Dufficult for plaintiff to timely persue
this litigation Country A

Any argument concurring The late filety of this objection is note according to Prison Mail box and That plaintil did not timely recieve the Denard Notice until 9/18/15 Doe to transfer to a Medical facility in Colifornia Colifornia Healthcare facility in Stockton Plaintilt Shookh thus Not be bound to the terms of the scheduling order

Jessues That should be Presented to The Barkruptcy Court 09-50026-mg Doc 13409 Filed 10/02/15 Entered 10/13/15 12:48:45 Main Document this plantiff complained is which is seeking exculpatory Discovery which is constitutionally Mandated and interference in the persuit of Said Evidence would be a manifest injustice of Keeping an otherwise Actually imocent individule incurrented for a longer period

That has been directly caused by The Misconduct of General Motors Corp That fraudolartly Sold Millions of Defective parts indangering the lives of Costoners and The General public and when The Company was finally rendered defunded by these fraudulant actions That bled The Company of all financial stab. 1. ty except its Brand name Conspired with the New GM N/4/A Motors Leguidation Company to Rid its self of Massive habilities Through Clever Man. polation of Roles of law Centrary to the rules of Prof Conduct 3-210 The Atlanties knowingly assisted each other and solicited The violation of Colifornia Rules of professional Conduct or State bor Act Bus & RC 116000-6328, Cal Rules. of Prof. Cond 1-120 by concealing suppressing Destroying and removing Evidence of Them fraudulent

09-50026-ing Doc \$3499 Filed 10/02/15 Eptered 10/13/15 12:48:45 Wain Documenteral Police and the plaint. If unknowingly solicited the Court to Assist in These illegal acts through clever Manipolation of the bankruptop laws of liquidation in violation of the Col Penal Code 11 134-135 Price V State bar (1982) 30 C3d 537-139 179 CR 914 which the Attorny's continue to ignore the Rule of Law Derying Plaintiff Constitutionally Mandated discovery causeing this plaintiff to Suffer a wrongful Conviction and a lengthy stay of nearceration while plaintill attempts to Convence the Court of his actual nno ence and the hunts of his claims youghting around The New york habor and world while plaintills life has been completely destroyed by the Ocheclasts Provider last acts and Clever on ethical Marphilan of the role of law Bus & Pc 6068(d) eal Rules of grot Cord 5-200 (B) Di Sabatino V State bor (1980) 27 CJd 159 162 CR 458
Despite The known plight of plaintiff

Despite The known plight of plaintiff
Through Correspondence and bitigation The
Delendants Continue to Thumb theor rose
at the law and are indillurat to the
harm The are Causery plaintiff by

09-50026-mg Doc 13499 Filed 10/02/15 Entered 10/13/15 12:48:45 Main Document will for Conceal next Pg 80f 83 truction and alteration of of evidence in the form of Documents of records that support petitioners claims of inocence and the Multination of the Vehncal which was evoncosty Declared a weapon Due to the actions of the Defendant's both new and old GM OWNERS as The Symple sale of The Corpotion Did not Viol the Defendants responsibilities to reveal Evidence of Crimis committed The are willing Co Conspiritors once They decided to destry Alter and Conceal evidence They whented in The sale of GMC Corp n The form of Documents which revealed The Massive France old Gra Comm Hed

The Debudant's New GM can not argue they had no knowledge of alleged Defective parts or that Documents may not have been exculpatory evidence as supported in Exhibit B GM test drivers were away of the ignition problems in 2006 Two years prior to the Sale the NHTSA crash report Made Mutian of the ignition problem The Debudants and Attornics Coold have reasonably argued ogainst liabilities if they had Chose to reveal and disclose they had Chose to reveal and Defective

09-50026-mg Doc 13499 Filed 10/02/15 Entered 10/13/15 12:48:45 Main Document to the Cov-ts Config. 9 of 81-5 and other himselfes Chapter 11 does not relieve The New GM owners of habilities if they fail in Their ethical and legal dities to disclose acts of fraud and other criminal conduct which they were aware of before the time of sale at the time of sole and after the sale Nor of its responsibility to Release to Plaintil the Exalpatory evidence Odudants have knowledge of 'That' would redease Plaintill of his wrongful Contriction and sopport his actual mocence claims That The Defective helburctioning vehical not Petitions was responsible for the neight hold petitioner incorrected in Coscoursis GPC PCI Southern Distret of California for these reasons The schoole should be modified for this plaintiff as This Ludges own openion in Exhibit BP141 cite 41 stateing The Dende of relief would be harbestly unconscionable what could be More so Then the continued manceration of an actually mocent industrile ewangly convicted because of The acts of Detendants to conceal excelpatory evidence the would relieve plantiff of the worstill consistion I Declare under pualty of perjory the largone is true aborto DAD-c 6666

209.50026-mg Doc.18499 Filed 10/02/15 Entered 10/13/15 12:48:45 Main Document AD 6237 C3A-V37 Pg 10 of 81

Po Box 32200 stockton Ca 95213

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK IN RS (126) Two 26 (126) Behard Motors (() 16 Mitian switch intigation Daryl Dusmar Plant, Cf General Motor et al Delendants Menaranden of Points and Authorities in Support of objection to scheduling order severely Ossabled and requires clarly assistance
Physical incapicitation is Good Cause for ext and
relief from the schedulering order people is Croved? (1966) 65 CZd 199 53 CR 284

209-50026-mg Doc 13499 | Filed 10/08/15 Entered 10/43/15 12:48:45 Main Document ording to prison Mail box rule Houston V Lack U87
US 266 273-76 108 Sct 2379 (1988)

cability

under California law once New GM agained The assets and Documents of Miscanduct of old GM They forfiet Their hobility protection by Becomeng Co Conspiritors According Penal Code 135 To Destroy and Conceal Documentary exidence as the New GM Behiclants in this case and were Accessories according to sporal code 32 help the alleged Principal of the Crines old GM as defined in Penal code 31 to avoid trial and Conviction of Followers Camiffed by old GM

New GM Actions were an Accessory is Defined by Renal Code 32 and farketeel habitity protection. The New defindants New GM Continue to Violate Colifornia Law of peral Code 135 by Concealing and refusing Destroying evidence of plaintills innocence in plaintills arimonal wrongful Conviction Case Doi197-6PC-PCI if Defendants are not accessories to the Crimes of old GM. Then They Should immediately release all Exculpatory evidence and fallfull promply all plaintill Discovery requests

Og-50026-mg (poc 13499 Filed 10/02/15 Entered 10/13/15 12:48:45 Maintpocument
Speak for trunselys and Thut of Their legal
representatives who believe they can Deny
representatives has right to Constitutionally
Marchatech Discovery and Claim They are
exempt from old GM product habitities
exempt from old GM product habitities
while brakeing state local and constitutional
raw to Conceal Exculpatory Evidence or detroy
it Thereby revealing thuselfs as accessories
to the principles crimes Old GM Thereby
Nollifying Lability protection by Balkruptay
For these reason the briefing schedule
should be Modified for plantiff.

t Declare under penalty of payory the foregoing is two

alvolos D-1D-c

70f7

9-50026-mo Doc 13499 - Eiled 10/02/15 Entered 10/13/15 12:48:45 Main Document Pg 13 of 81

PO BOY 32200

Stockton Cer 95213

Darry Donsman
Plant Adors stal
Deluclents

Toble of Content

and Points ench

Authorities in

Support of objection
to schiedling order

People V Croved. (1966) 65 crd
199 53 cr 284 - - - -

1082

| 09-50026-mg Doc 13499. Filed 10/02/15 Entered 10/13/15 12:48:45 Main Document |
|---|
| 277-76 los set 2379 (1988) 2 |
| Culifornia Peaal Codo |
| PC31,32,195 |
| <u>Contents</u> |
| Objection 6 pages |
| Menorandur 7 pages |
| Lodgements. |
| Medical order for assistence 6 pages |
| Exhibit B General volo on En History 6 pages |
| |

abrilio DAD-e

Dc. -09-50026-mb Door 18499 Filed 10/02/15 Entered 10/13/15 12:48:45 Main Document Pg 15 of 81
PO Box 32200
Stockton Ca 45213

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK IN RS Case 09-50026 (REG) Gereral Meeting (CC 1 Gustion switch Citization) Darry 1 Durana Maintill General Mostar et al Defendants Lodgementsi 1-1 Support of Objection to rehectileing order Exhibit A "Medical order for assistance - - - 6 pages Exh. b.t B General into an GM History - - - 6 pages te declare these lodgement to be true and

aprilis 010 -

Pg 16 of 81 REASONABLE ACCOMMODATION PANEL (RAP) RESPONSE RAP Meeting Date: 9/02/2015 Date IAC Received 1824: 8/31/2015 1824 Log Number: CHCF-C-15-02227 Inmate's Name: DUNSMORE, DARRYL CDCR #: AD6237 Housing: FAC C3A-145 13ユ RAP Staff Present: ADA Coordinator J.A. Zamora, Custody Appeals Coordinator A. Infante, Doctor G. Williams, Health Care Appeals Representative, L. Donnelly, Registered Nurse M. Lowe ⊠ Yes DPM. CCCMS Inmate Interviewed: □ No Disability Access or Discrimination Issue: SUBJECT STATES THAT HE IS HAVING DIFFICULTY IN TRANSFERRING, DRESSING OR ALTERATION OF CLOTHES WITH BUTTONS DUE TO HIS MEDICAL CONDITION. Interim Accommodations Needs Reviewed: X Interim Accommodation provided (List accommodation and date provided): ON 8/31/15, MEDICAL STAFF TO ASSIST SUBJECT ON AS NEEDED BASIS FOR TRANSFERRING/DRESSING/OBTAINING ITEMS. Summary of Inmate's 1824 Request: THE SUBJECT IS REQUESTING ASSISTANCE IN TRANSFERRING, DRESSING OR ALTERATION OF CLOTHES WITH BUTTONS, ASSISTANCE WITH PLACING SOCKS ON AND A THREE TIER SHELF. RAP is able to render a final decision. APPROVE WITH MODIFICATION ON 9/02/15, THE REASONABLE ACCOMODATION PANEL (RAP) HAS REVIEWED YOUR REQUEST. YOU HAVE BEEN APPROVED FOR A WHEELCHAIR ACCESSIBLE LOCKER. ON 8/31/15, THE CHCF APPEALS COORDINATOR INTERVIEWED YOU, IN WHICH YOU REQUESTED ASISSTANCE WITH PULLOVER SHIRTS, REACHING DOWN TO PLACE SOCKS AND SHOES ON AND GETTING ITEMS FROM THE FLOOR/SHELF. YOU FURTHER STATED WHEN YOU WARM UP, YOU GET BETTER MOVEMENT BUT IN THE MORNING AND AT NIGHT IT BECOMES MORE DIFICULT TO MOVE. YOU STATED THAT THE OFFICERS DO ASSIST YOU AND THAT YOU ARE ABLE TO ACCESS PROGRAMS AND SERVICES WITHOUT CONCERN. ON 8/31/15, THE CHCF APPEALS COORDINATOR INTERVIEWED CNA MOFOR. MOFOR STATED SHE ASSISTS YOU ON AN AS NEEDED BASIS. SHE HAS OBSERVED YOU MOVE IN OTHER ACTIVITIES SUCH AS FEEDING YOURSELF. SHE FURTHER STATED THAT SHE WILL INFORM OTHER STAFF TO ASSIST YOU IN THE INTERIM ON AN AS NEEDED BASIS. Additional information/instruction: THE SUBJECT IS ABLE TO SAFELY ACCESS ALL PROGRAMS, SERVICES AND ACTIVITIES. If you disagree with a health care decision made prior to or during the CDCR 1824 process, complete a CDCR 602-HC. If you disagree with any other RAP decision, complete a CDCR 602. Be sure to attach this document along with your CDCR 1824. J.A. Zamora Date sent to inmate: 9/2/2015 **ADA Coordinator** Signature Staff processing instructions: Does delivery of response meet criteria to establish effective communication? No X **Accommodation Order required:** Request alleges non-compliance of the Armstrong or Clark Remedial Plans. Allegation logged on Accountability Log.

Copy - Miscellaneous Section of C-File

Entered 10/13/15 12:48:45

Main Document

Copy - Medical/Mental Health Staff

Distribution: Original - Inmate

Copy - 1824 File

09-50026-mg

Doc 13499

File 10/02/15

| 09-50026-mg Doc 13499 Fil | ed 10/02/15 E Pg 17 c | | L3/15 1 | L2:48:45 | Main Document | |
|--|--|--|------------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|
| State of California | Pg 17 C |) 01 | | Department of | Corrections and Rehabilitation | |
| REASONABLE ACCOMMODATION | | | | LOG NUMBER (staff use only): | | |
| REQUEST | · | | Y/N | CHCF. | C-15-62227 | |
| CDCR 1824 (rev: ?/2014) | | | Y/IN | | | |
| *** TALK TO STAFF IF YOU H | AVE AN EMERGEN | C,Y * * * | | Date Recei | ved by Staff (staff use only): | |
| <u>Do not</u> use a CDCR 1824 to request health car may delay your access to health care. Instead, | | | | | | |
| INMATE'S NAME (Print) | CDCR NUMBER ASSIGNMENT | | | | HOUSING | |
| DUNSMORE, D. | AD 6237 | | <u></u> | • | C3 A-115 | |
| INSTRUCTIONS | 7.5005 | L | ار اندید سختمها از آزایید | · }• | | |
| You may use this form if you have a physical | or mental disability o | vr if vou believe | vouhav | e a nhveical | or mental disability | |
| You may use this form to request a specific | • | و الما المالية | 7 | | · · | |
| participate in a program, service, or activity. | You may also use thi | is form to subm | it an alle | gation of disa | ability-based discrimination. | |
| Submit this form to the Custody Appeals Offi | | | | * | , | |
| The CDCR 1824 is a request process, not a 1824 to request a response for a group of appeal (CDCR 602, or 602-HC if disagreeing | n appeal process. A inmates. If you hav with a medical diagr | e received an | 1824 de | cision that ye | | |
| WHAT CAN'T YOU DO / WHAT IS THE PRO | RIFW: | The State of the S | • | _ | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | , | | | |
| | | \ | | | | |
| WHY CAN'T YOU DO IT: | | | | | | |
| | | Mind | | | | |
| | A 14 15 | | | | | |
| | 55 | | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | |
| WHAT DO YOU NEED: | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| - | , | | | | | |
| | | | | | · | |
| | | | | | | |
| Which of the following bank days !! | -1: | | - | | form if you need more space) | |
| Which of the following best describes your | | = | | • | | |
| | | culty hearing | | iculty talking | On kidney dialysis | |
| ☐ Difficulty using arms/hands ☐ Difficulty I | earning Diffi | culty thinking or | understa | anding | ☐ Mental impairment | |
| ☐ Other Disability (briefly describe): | | | | | | |
| DO YOU HAVE ANY DOCUMENTS THAT D | ECCRIRE VOUR D | ICADILITYO | | V П | No El Mad Corre El | |
| i e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e | | | | Yes □ | No □ Not Sure □ | |
| (List and attach documents if available, including: | 1845, 7410, 128-C): | | | | | |
| | <u> </u> | | | | | |
| I understand staff have a right to interview or e | xamine me, and my | failure to coop | perate m | nay cause th | is request to be disapproved | |
| INMATE'S SIGNATURE | | ······································ | - | <u> </u> | ATE SIGNED | |
| Assistance completing this form provided by: | | | | וט | TIE SIGNED | |
| | Last Name | F | irst Name | | Signature | |

Person making determination

Title

☐ IAP is not required as the CDCR 1824 contains no disability access or discrimination issues.

09-50026-mg Doc 13499 Filed 10/02/15 Entered 10/13/15 12:48:45 Main Document Pg 18 of 81

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS AND REHABILITATION

HOURS/WATCH

HOUSING

REASONABLE MODIFICATION OR ACCOMMODATION REQUEST

programs of a public entity, or be subjected to discrimination.

INSTITUTION/PAROLE REGION: LOG NUMBER: CATEGORY:

CHCF C- 18. ADA

ASSIGNMENT

CDCR 1824 (Rev. 10/06)

INMATE/PAROLEE'S NAME(PRINT)

qualified/eligible to participate.

NOTE: THIS FORM IS TO BE USED ONLY BY INMATES/PAROLEES WITH DISABILITIES

In processing this request, it will be verified that the inmate/parolee has a disability which is covered under the Americans With Disabilities Act.

In accordance with the provisions of the Americans With Disabilities Act (ADA), no qualified individuals with a distibility shall, on the basis of disability, be excluded from participation in, or be denied the benefits of the services activities, or

You may use this form to request specific reasonable modification or accommodation which, if aranted would enable you to participate in a service, activity or program offered by the Department/institution/facility, for which you are otherwise

CDC NUMBER

AD6237

| Submit this completed form to the institution or facility's Ap within 15 working days of receipt at the Appeals Coordinator's Offi do not agree with the decision on this form, you may pursu constitutes a decision at the FIRST LEVEL of review. To proceed to SECOND LEVEL, attach this form to an Inmate/Pathe appeal form. | ce and the completed form will be returned to you. If you e further review. The decision rendered on this form |
|--|--|
| Submit the appeal with attachment to the Appeals Coordinate rendered on this request form. If you are not satisfied with the SECOND LEVEL review decision the CDC 602. | |
| MODIFICATION OR ACCOMM | ODATION REQUESTED |
| DESCRIPTION OF DISABILITY: Anky Losins Spa | ordy 1, to Dsyphia |
| WHAT VERIFICATION DO YOU HAVE OF YOUR DISABILITY? | ical Records, outcome |
| | |
| DESCRIBE THE PROBLEM: I have a Ray Decision den | ving My Previous Requests |
| for Alterations Stateing That Assistance when Requested Sta | if are refuseine to Assist with |
| | ess shorts sock due to loss s knus I an unable to |
| WHAT SPECIFIC MODIFICATION OF ACCOMMODATION IS REQUES NEED Afternoon Cocker and ass. | STED? Ste get out bed. |
| Transfer and Dress and und Decided or Alteration | ress as Rap fraviously of clothes with bottom |
| and strays for socks tte | , / |
| INMATE/PAROLEE'S SIGNATURE | S/30/15 DATE SIGNED |
| | |

Pg 19 of 81 REASONABLE ACCOMMODATION PANEL (RAP) RESPONSE RAP Meeting Date: 9/09/2015 Date IAC Received 1824: 9/4/2015 1824 Log Number: CHCF-C-15-02280 Inmate's Name: DUNSMORE, DARRYL CDCR #: AD6237 Housing: FAC C3A-115 13 2 **RAP Staff Present: ADA Coordinator** J.A. Zamora, Custody Appeals Coordinator A. Infante. Doctor G. Williams. Health Care Appeals Representative, , K. Martin, D. Nelson, Registered Nurse M. Lowe Inmate Interviewed: □ No ✓ Yes DPM, CCCMS Disability Access or Discrimination Issue: SUBJECT STATES THAT HE IS HAVING DIFFICULTY IN TRANSFERRING, DRESSING OR ALTERATION OF CLOTHES WITH BUTTONS DUE TO HIS MEDICAL CONDITION. Interim Accommodations Needs Reviewed: X Interim Accommodation provided (List accommodation and date provided): ON 8/31/15. MEDICAL STAFF TO ASSIST SUBJECT ON AS NEEDED BASIS FOR TRANSFERRING/DRESSING/OBTAINING ITEMS. Summary of Inmate's 1824 Request: THE SUBJECT IS REQUESTING ASSISTANCE IN TRANSFERRING, DRESSING OR ALTERATION OF CLOTHES WITH BUTTONS, ASSISTANCE WITH PLACING SOCKS ON AND A THREE TIER SHELF. RAP is able to render a final decision. DISAPPROVED ON 9/09/15, THE REASONABLE ACCOMODATION PANEL (RAP) HAS REVIEWED YOUR REQUEST. DUPLICATE ISSUE REFER TO CHCF #CHCF-C-15-02227 Additional information/instruction: THE SUBJECT IS ABLE TO SAFELY ACCESS ALL PROGRAMS, SERVICES AND **ACTIVITIES.** If you disagree with a health care decision made prior to or during the CDCR 1824 process, complete a CDCR 602-HC. If you disagree with any other RAP decision, complete a CDCR 602. Be sure to attach this document along with your CDCR 1824. J.A. Zamora Date sent to inmate: 9/9/2015 Signature **ADA Coordinator** Staff processing instructions: Does delivery of response meet criteria to establish effective communication? No **Accommodation Order required:** Request alleges non-compliance of the Armstrong or Clark Remedial Plans. Allegation logged on Accountability Log.

Copy - Miscellaneous Section of C-File

Copy - Medical/Mental Health Staff

Filed 10/02/15 Entered 10/13/15

Distribution: Original - Inmate

Copy - 1824 File

09-50026-ma

Doc 13499

| 09-50026-mg | Doc 13499 Fi | iled 10/02/15 E | | 13/15 1 | L2:48:45 | Main Document |
|---|--|---|--|-------------------------|--|--|
| State of California | | Pg 20 (| of 81 | | Department of | Corrections and Rehabilitation |
| REASONABLE ACC | NOITAGOMMC | INSTITUTION (sta | aff use only): | EC? | | BER (staff use only): |
| REQUEST | | | | Y/N | CHCF- | C-15-02280 |
| CDCR 1824 (rev: ?/2014) | | | | 17.5 | | |
| ***TALK T | O STAFF IF YOU F | AVE AN EMERGEN | CY, * * * | | Date Hecen | ved by Staff (staff use only): |
| Do not use a CDCR 1824 may delay your access to h | to request health carealth care | re or to appeal a heal , submit a CDCR 736 | th care decision 2 or a CDCR 60 | n. This 02-HC. | | |
| INMATE'S NAME (Print) | | | ASSIGNMEN ⁻ | | L | HOUSING |
| Dunsmore, 1 | D | A06237 | | .చిన | ·• | C3 A-115 |
| INSTRUCTIONS | <u>~·</u> | 11,000 | <u></u> | | | |
| You may use this form it | i you have a physica | ıl or mental disability (| or if you believe | you hav | e a physical o | or mental disability. |
| You may use this form participate in a program, | to request a specif, service, or activity. | fic reasonable accom You may also use th | modation which | h, if app it an alle | roved, will engation of disa | nable you to access and/or ability-based discrimination. |
| Submit this form to the C | • • • | | eg Till andre Frank i State Brown og State Brown Brown og State Brown | | | |
| | | | | | | a response. <u>Do not</u> use an |
| 1824 to request a responsible appeal (CDCR 602, or 6 | | | | | | ou disagree with, submit an |
| | | 5 Day | | | ,. | |
| WHAT CAN'T YOU DO / \ | WHAT IS THE PRO |)BLEM: Representation (Inc.) | A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A | | | |
| | and the same of th | | - A | | / | |
| | | | - V | / | | |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | ————————————————————————————————————— | 90// | | | |
| WHY CAN'T YOU DO IT: | | Men | | | | |
| | | X / X | | | | |
| No. | | 30/ | | | | |
| WHAT DO YOU NEED: | <u>\$</u> 5 | | , | | | |
| WILLIAM 100 | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| | | | | | | |
| | | • | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | form if you need more space) |
| Which of the following be | | | | | | |
| Difficulty walking or gettingDifficulty using arms/hands | • | | iculty hearing | | culty talking | ☐ On kidney dialysis |
| | • | learning Li Dim | iculty thinking or | understa | inding i | ☐ Mental impairment |
| ☐ Other Disability (briefly des | cribe): | | | | | |
| DO YOU HAVE ANY DO | CUMENTS THAT C | ESCRIBE YOUR D | ISABILITY? | | Yes □ | No □ Not Sure □ |
| (List and attach documents i | if available, including: | . 1845, 7410, 128-C): | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| l understand staff have a ri | ght to interview or | examine me, and my | failure to coor | perate m | av cause thi | s request to be disapproved |
| - | | | • | | ·, | a . a da a a |
| | | | | | | |
| INMATE' | S SIGNATURE | | - | - | | ATE SIGNED |
| Assistance completing this | | | | | | TIE OIGHED |
| , g | p | Last Name | F | irst Name | | Signature |
| | | | | | ······································ | - |
| ☐ IAP is not required as t | | | | | | - |
| - no dioobilibi access | discrimination issue | 20 | Person maki | ina data- | mination | Title |

09-50026-mg Doc 13499 Filed 10/02/15 Entered 10/13/15 12:48:45 Main Document Pg 21 of 81

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS AND REHABILITATION

HOURS/WATCH

HOUSING

C3 A-115

REASONABLE MODIFICATION OR ACCOMMODATION REQUEST

CDCR 1824 (Rev. 10/06)

INMATE/PAROLEE'S NAME(PRINT)

erenzal

| INSTITUTION/PAROLE REGION: | LOG NUMBER: | CATEGORY: |
|----------------------------|-------------|-----------|
| CHCF- | C-15-0228D | 18. ADA |

ASSIGNMENT

NOTE: THIS FORM IS TO BE USED ONLY BY INMATES/PAROLEES WITH DISABILITIES

In processing this request, it will be verified that the inmate/parolee has a disability which is covered under the Americans With Disabilities Act.

CDC NUMBER

100833

| In accordance with the provisions of the Americans With Disabilities Act (ADA), no qualified individuals with a disibility shall, on the basis of disability, be excluded from participation in, or be denied the benefits of the services activities, or programs of a public entity, or be subjected to discrimination. You may use this form to request specific reasonable modification or accommodation which, illustrated, would enable you to participate in a service, activity or program offered by the Department/institution/facility, for which you are otherwise qualified/eligible to participate. Submit this completed form to the institution or facility's Appeals Coordinator's Office. A decision will be redurned within 15 working days of receipt at the Appeals Coordinator's Office and the completed form will be returned to you. If you do not agree with the decision on this form, you may pursue further review. The decision rendered on this form constitutes a decision at the FIRST LEVEL of review. To proceed to SECOND LEVEL, attach this form to an Inmate/Parolee Appeal Form (CDC 602) and complete section "F" of the appeal form. |
|--|
| Submit the appeal with attachment to the Appeals Coordinator's Office within 15 days of your receipt of the decision rendered on this request form. |
| If you are not satisfied with the SECOND LEVEL review decision, you may request THIRD LEVEL review as instructed on the CDC 602. |
| MODIFICATION OR ACCOMMODATION REQUESTED |
| DESCRIPTION OF DISABILITY: Entropy Spordy (to |
| |
| WHAT VERIFICATION DO YOU HAVE OF YOUR DISABILITY? Lead cal Kewals |
| DESCRIBE THE PROBLEM: I was told by lopeal Coordinator I would. |
| Assistence but staff were not informed or provided Record of Said action |
| WHAT SPECIFIC MODIFICATION OR ACCOMMODATION IS REQUESTED? IN form Costody Medical of interim accommendation Provide Ricard So I recover interim accommendation |
| INMATE/PAROLEE'S SIGNATURE DATE SIGNED |

The massive ongoing recall of General Motors vehicles with faulty ignition switches (and the dozen years the company spent *not* issuing a recall) has made headlines, launched lawsuits, angered legislators, but many consumers who don't own a recalled car have shrugged and said, "Glad I don't drive one of them."

One small defect in a part that controls a car's ignition switch: that's what's at the root of a massive car recall linked to at least thirteen deaths. The cars all came from General Motors brands sold for most of a decade — and as we now know, someone, somewhere knew about the fatal potential of that defect for as long as it existed. The ignition switch problem affects everyone who drives a GM car. But the way that the defect was allowed to remain in the production line for so long affects nearly everyone who drives or shares roads with cars — whether you've ever set foot in a GM vehicle or not.

The GM ignition switch recall started in February with an announcement about 778,000 compact cars. Over the months since then, the recall list has expanded to include about 2.6 million Saturn Ion (2003-2007), Chevrolet Cobalt (2005-2010), Chevrolet HHR (2006-2011), Pontiac G5 (2007-2010), Pontiac Solstice (2006-2010), and Saturn Sky (2007-2010) vehicles. (GM has also recalled another three million cars this year for other, unrelated issues.)

MORE THAN JUST A RECALL

The recall, though, isn't just a recall. It's led to an investigation that has shown that GM and the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA) were, between them, peripherally aware of the defect for over ten years. Let's take a quick, summary review of key moments in the timeline of events:

- •2001-2002: The first report of a switch problem shows up in preproduction notes for the 2002 Saturn Ion.
- •2005: GM realizes the Chevy Cobalt has a problem with the ignition switch and opens repeated engineering inquiries, but takes no action.
- •2006: GM test drivers become aware of the ignition problem. GM makes some repairs, but mixes older, defective part and newer, improved part under same item number, causing years' worth of confusion.

- •2007: A NHTSA crash report makes mention of the ignition switch turning itself off. NHTSA proposes opening an investigation, but decides not to.
- .2010: After more accidents and deaths, NHTSA once again considers, but then decides against, opening a formal investigation.
- .2012-2013: GM internal testing finds that no, really, these ignition switches are broken.
- •2014: GM finally issues recalls due to the faulty part, totaling roughly 2.6 million vehicles.

At least 13 people were killed (and possibly more) due to this particular defect in that 13-year span, and dozens of other drivers complained about it. But between the start of the problem in 2001 and the enormous and very public recalls in 2014, a few things changed at GM. And by "a few things," we mean "everything."

GENERAL MOTORS IS DEAD. LONG LIVE GENERAL MOTORS.

The early years of the 21st century were not among GM's best. It's easy to see why a GM at the time was so desperately concerned with cutting costs wherever possible: after a high point in 1999, their annual sales numbers began to drop slowly but steadily from 2000 onward. They posted significant losses in 2005, 2006, and 2007, and so were already off to a rough start in 2008.

Then came, well, 2008 — a now-infamous year of domestic and international economic crisis. In the space of less than a year, everything tanked: the housing market collapsed, giant megabanks began flailing wildly, energy prices jumped, and the automotive industry found itself in dire straits.

The combination of a less-than-great half-decade and an international near-collapse of the industry was a one-two punch that GM couldn't withstand. In Nov. 2008 the company announced that without drastic action, they'd be out of cash and out of business by the middle of 2009.

Ford, Chrysler, and GM all testified before Congress in Dec. 2008 to the effect that the U.S. car industry, that most American of industries, was going to collapse in on itself without an infusion of federal bailout cash. Congress declined to hand over money, but GM did get a "bridge loan" from the Bush administration to keep it afloat while a longer-term solution

could be worked out.

Between Dec. 2008 and March 2009, things failed in any way to get better for GM as they kept moving through a back-and-forth of proposed business plans to and with the federal government. On March 30, 2009, the Obama administration announced that the government would not be handing GM a mountain of cash, but that a detailed restructuring plan including Chapter 11 bankruptcy had been worked out in order to save the company. GM officially filed for Chapter 11 reorganization in a New York court on June 1, 2009.

Under the terms of that Chapter 11 filing, a new corporate entity called NGMCO Inc. — the "new" GM corporation — purchased all of GM's "continued operational assets." As part of the terms of sale, NGMCO, Inc., changed its name to "General Motors" and kept all of GM's brands, logos, and trademarks. In one fell swoop, GM ceased to be GM, the troubled corporation with a pile of liabilities, and became GM, the newer, leaner corporation that conveniently left all its liabilities sitting in a trash heap near the door when it walked out.

As for that mess next to the door, the "Old GM" still had to clean it up. Having let the New GM walk off with its name and branding, the remnants of Old GM became the Motors Liquidation Company. That company has been working its way through the bankruptcy, liability, and debtor process ever since.

The New GM, about 60% owned by the U.S. Department of the Treasury, promptly shed jobs, dealerships, manufacturing facilities, and car brands. (Remember Pontiac, Saturn, Hummer, and Saab?) And most critically, they also shed liability for anything they did back when they were still the original GM.

LEAVING BEHIND THE BLAME

Several states' attorneys general, perhaps having a collective moment of clairvoyance, filed an objection to the liability exception part of GM's bankruptcy agreement, saying that potential later accident victims could lose "key legal rights" if it went through. (The Wall Street Journal ran a detailed explainer of the relevant legal aspects back in 2009.) Under the pressure, GM eventually agreed to somewhat expand the scope of its liability to accident victims.

The gist of the change meant that, "[C]onsumers driving old GM cars who

get in accidents during GM's several weeks in bankruptcy court, or after the new GM emerges, will be able to sue new GM."

At the time, then-Connecticut Attorney General Richard Blumenthal, one of the attorneys general who filed the objection, said:

"This agreement captures a very significant group of claims that wouldn't have been covered and is a very significant victory for consumer advocates. It may seem symbolic, but it will be very real and important to people who suffered injuries during this period of time, and it sets a highly significant precedent."

That GM product liability pact is now front and center in the wake of the recall. GM is trying to get lawsuits against it held on the grounds of the restructuring, claiming liability protection.

Former Connecticut AG Blumenthal is now United States Senator Blumenthal, and he's no less concerned about the new GM's liabilities for the old GM's actions than he was in 2009. In late March, he pressed the Justice Department to make sure that GM stays liable for GM's actions. At the time, he told Consumerist, "There is a very powerful legal and moral responsibility on the part of the federal government to intervene here. They enabled GM to emerge from reorganization with very extensive protections from legal responsibility for the death, injuries, and damage their defective vehicles caused."

NOW WHAT?

Well, that's really the billion-dollar question.

It will take months, if not years, for the Justice Department to carry out its criminal investigationand determine if charges are warranted. Getting the various civil suits sorted out will probably take even longer still. GM, in some way, will need to compensate the car owners, accident victims, and surviving families of those who were killed due to this error. That complicated question of how much legal liability GM actually bears for their own error and cover-up will be a key factor in every proceeding. But the most pressing question for the future isn't about GM at all. Although this recall is massive, and GM's particular tie to American taxpayers and the federal government is at play, this defect and this question of liability aren't the central issues we're facing. Instead, the real problem that the GM disaster has brought to light is that

nearly 250 million registered cars on the road in the United States... and 51 ODI employees to make sure that we all stay safe around them. Of those 51 employees a little over half are investigators, Bloomberg reports. It makes for a ratio of about 8.6 million cars on the road for every defect investigator NHTSA has. The agency also receives more than 40,000 consumer complaints per year — and of course, not every consumer who has reason to make a safety complaint ever bothers to do so. NHTSA's 2015 budget for investigating defects is about \$10.6 million, and it's been in that \$10 million ballpark for years.

With those odds, it starts to feel surprising that NHTSA actually catches as many problems as itdoes.

28 investigators can only capture so much data first-hand. In order to act, NHTSA relies on data from the car companies themselves. When the companies take their own sweet time providing it, as GM has been doing, the safety review process hits a bottleneck... and just stops going anywhere at all.

So where do we sit today?

Consumers are aware of the problems, but the defective GM cars are still on the road. GM is paying meager fines of \$7000 per day (from their 2013 revenue of \$3.8 billion) for each day theymiss their deadline for providing data to NHTSA. And thirteen people who were driving or riding in cars that had one small, faulty part in them are still dead.

Whatever this investigation uncovers about this particular defect, this tragic incident spotlights the fact that there are systemic problems with carmakers for whom lives are but data points on a cost/profit sheet and with regulators who ignore their own investigators' reports. Until those underlying issues are remedied, it's only a matter of time until another vehicle with a deadly defect is not only allowed to hit the road, but stay there for far too long.

Paragon 26 men 2002/1999 Filed 10/02/15 Entered 10/13/15 12:48:45 Main Document Pg 27 of 81
PO Box 32200
Stockton Cy 95217

UNITED STATES BANKROPTCY COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YOURK IN RE General Motor UC USON ITION SWITCH Litigation Darry Donsmore Plantiff General Motors etal Defendants enforcement of injunction

People V Lee 1970 3 CA3d SIU 526 83 CR

1082

| 09-50026-mg Doc People V | | | | | | o f |
|-----------------------------|---------------|----------|------------|-------|------------------|----------------|
| 175 CR 6 | | _ ~ | , | _ | | 4 |
| | | \ | lanti | 13.6 | | |
| Imbler V | | | | | + e.44 | |
| 409 A25 | | | | | -1 404 | _ 3 |
| US V Bagl | • | | | | | |
| 87 L Ed | | | | | | - 5 |
| Brady v | | | | | > | |
| 87 10 6 | [J 29 21 | 5 83 5 | ct 1 | 194 - | | - 5 |
| 17 42494 | V Super | 00 COU | + (1c | ۹۱) | | |
| 54 C3d | 756 378 | 785 | CR 23 | . \ - | | 5 |
| | | Peral Co | • | | | |
| PC 31 | • | | | | · · | 7 |
| | | | | | | <u>ر</u> د |
| PC 37 | | | | | _ | 3 |
| PC 135 | - 54 (e) - | _ | | | | 5 |
| (| | | L . | | | - |
| . 1 | | contro | 12 | | 4 | ~ |
| object | , o v | | / _ | | Pages _ Pages | |
| Menora | 4 | | | | | |
| lodgen | - etre | | | | Poges 3. | 9 |
| | | Lodger | , trun | | | |
| Exh.b.t | 4 | • | | | _ | |
| • | at sese | 045 638 | · - | · | 30 page | |
| 2x4, 6,4 | nchance | | ~ - | | _ 1 Pai | se |
| 24 h | + c. | _ | Ĺ. | | उद १०% | · o t . |
| Housen | g in Level | Poch | me 15 | 12 | , | |
| | | | 2120/10 | 240- | : 20P | 72 |

Octob 50 626-mb Doc 13499 Piled 10/02/15 Entered 10/13/15 12:48:45 Main Document Pg 29 of 81
Po Box 32200
Stack ton Ca 95217

UNITED STATES BANKRUPCY COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK IN BS Cope 09-50026 (nes) Genral Motors (15 ignation switch citigation range of lyna of Plant, If General Motor Etal Defendants objection to any Notion to strike, DISMISSa injunction upon

Introduction

This plaintiffs Complaint Exhibit A Directectly attacks The Conduct of The New GM in Solano Superior Court State of 00-50026-mg Doc 13499 Filed 10/02/15 Entered 10/13/15 12:48:45 Main Document

Lobel, Viking et al

this plant of contacts that the Delendants Present Conduct has and is voiding their Liability protection when the New GM began to violete state local and constitutional law of Colifornia when they failed to Release Disclose or hard over Exculpatory Constitutionally Mardated Discovery and began to destrey, or conceal Documentary Evidence petitioner has been forced to seek through his state tort after failure to respond to correspondence request failure to respond to correspondence request for Documentary Evidence in pocession of the Delendants Exhibit B

once the Defectants New 6th began
to Conduct themselfs in This manner Depriving
Petitioner of Constitutionally Mandate Discovery
which would Prove his actual mocence
claims in his writ of Habeas on Counction
in the Southern District of California
Case 0011013 GPC PCI The Dew Defectants
became Accessories under California (aw
Penal Code 37 To the principle Delectants
The old 6th as Defined by Penal Code 31
Voiding Their otherwise Lightimete

09-50026-mg Doc 13499 Filed 10/02/15 Entered 10/13/15 12:48:45 Main Document To deprive petitioner of Evidence in Their Possession and knowledge of Successor of old am Assets in the form of exculpatory Documentation that support The Malfonchian Plantits vehical the Alleged weapon as The Cause of the incident and not entert of the plaintill in the alleged coininal conduct which plaintill now only continues to suffer from because The acts of the New GM to Conceal Destroy quidence in violation of leval Code 135 Thereby concealing The action of The principle as Defined in Pual Code 31 to prevent prosecution in a court establishs the New BM Defendant as Accessories as Defined n Penal Code Section 32 forficting NEW GM'S Liebility protection, Dismissal of This Plaintill action and any motion to strike of stay this plaintills Action or claims Nor Can any injunction supercede Plaintills legal right to the evidence

3085

09-50026-mg Doc 13499 Filed 10/02/15 Entered 10/13/15.12:48:45 Main Document of Question which Ph32 of Blacktiff seeks which is constitutionally mendated any Continued refusal Clearly supports plaintiffs Clains of Fraud neloding to this court where they billege not to be in concert with the old an oethodoxis actions yet Continue to attempt to protect the principle cause the old an oethodoxis actions with actions that Detire and Describe the New and Describe the New actions Deladants as accessories in the old an oethodoxis accessories in the old and Describe the New actions Deladants as accessories in the old and Describe the Deladants and Conduct according penal Code

As Declared by The New EM Defendants
They became successor in 'of the old EM
Defendants assets which hoppened to be
Documentary evidence which supports
Plaintiffs Claims of Actual inference in
which petitioner seeks to over two his
wrong ful Conviction

However at This time it is The New GM Deludants choice to refuse The Discovery and evidence request in Violation penal Gode 135 That They know is to be used in Trial and are Concealing and Destroying in in Violation of Celifornia and Constitutional Cau

09-50026-mg Doc 13499 Filed 10/02/15 Entered 10/13/15 12:48:45 Main Document
Placent of abo argues Detectants and not Provide Notice to individules housed n county Jail Therby Depriving atleast this Plaint. It of his Due process to file a claim in a timely Moner Richabet C Défendants should of Made attempts to have doubted innotes both hed with Posted henorendons in Country duis Defendants should allow and compensate This Plaintill on any late fileing as the declared they would provide to The court Conpesation Plantiff Controls New on veledants
Action have borred then from taking
any action against This complaint Through The Bonkrupey Coart Plantiff fortur reguest a Declatory order Overting New on Defendants from Destroying or cancealing any More Oceanistry encluce in this and pleantill's Case in ques tru to eclare under puolty of perjung the foregoing is thre a/22/15 DND-c

5065

09-50026mg Doc 13499 Filed 10/02/15 Entered 10/13/15 12:48:45 Main Document Pg 34 of 81

RO BOX 32200 Stockton (a 95213)

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

IN RE
GENERAL Metor ICC

IGNITION SWITCH Litigation

Darry Downsone

Plantiff

General Motors et al

Deheclants

Memorandom of Points and

Authorities in support of objection

To any Motor to savike, stay 1

Injunction upon this plaintiff

Argument
Plaintiffs Complaint attacks Conduct of
The (New GM Ochendents) who according to
State, Local and Constitutional law established
Thenselfs as independent actors Accessories
Thenselfs as independent actors of The
To The Crimes and Misconduct of The

09-50026-mg Doc 13499 Filed 10/02/15 Entered 10/13/15 12:48:45, Main Document Principle actors (ORG 85 oR M Defindants) as Defined in California Penal Code Statiste.

Peral Code 31 Principles Defined Who are principles All persons concerned in The Commission of a Crime wether it Be I clary of Mischenener and wether They Directly Commit The act Constituting The office or aid and abet in its commission and all persons courseling, adviseing)

Peral Code 32 Accessories defined

Frey Person who after a belong has been committed

hobors coneeds or aids a principal in such felong

with the intent that said principal May avoid

or escape from arrest trial Conviction or punishment

hove: y knowledge that said principal has comitted

such felong or has been charged with such

belong or Convicted there of is an accessory

to such felong

According to PC 31 The New GM established it self as Accessories after hoveing knowledge of Sand principals crimes which it extended to in its own fileings with this court with in the newly of PC 72 See any Braid Metion filed by Deladants

when they independently choose to Conceal Destrong Documbary evidence after plaintiff Mark regrest and botice of pending investigation and trial action with in the Meaning of

Pencal Coole 135 Destroy OR CONCEAING Documentary evidence

(every person who, knowing That any Book, paper record natroment in writeing or other matter or thing is about to be produced in eviduce upon any trial inquiry or mestigation what ever authorized by Taw willfully destoys of conceals the Same with intent their by to Prevent it from being produced is guilty)

Plaintilf Made the inquiry Through Correspondence Notified the New BM of Pending actions according leval Code 135 and Defendants New Gram Made independent Action to Deprive plaintill of Constitutionally Mandated Discovery Conceal Destroy said documentary evidence which support Petitioners Claim in a writ of habeas Concerning the wrong ful Conviction of one actually innocent Case outigy 6PC PCI Southern District of California Said Docomentary Evidence Supports The Merits of plaintiff's Claims That The incident was not intuitional on plaintiff Part but occurred because The Malfonction of The product Sold by The old GM Ochedon'ts and Their Misconduct

09-50026-mg Doc 13499 Filed 10/02/15 Entered 10/13/15 12:48:45 Main Document
Placint. HS (ause P93tof 81 cutton Directly
attacks The present conduct of EM Defendants
(The New EM Defendants) which action
(The New Emoderates) which action
Causes Then To become Accessories to The old
EM Defendants) conduct (The principals) when
The New Defendants (New EM) took The present
action to Conceal Dostroy Exculpatory evidence
action to Conceal Dostroy Exculpatory evidence
of The (old EM Defendants) Conduct which
Plaintiffs Complaint was filed see Exhibit
Plaintiffs Complaint was filed see Exhibit
A cause of Action (Fraud)

Plaintill Contends that Il The New GM Debendants had simply completed with plantiff request concerning evidence of exculpatory Neture of Malfonctioning Defective parts New GMS cibbolities protection would be in full force against plaintiff and there would be no couse of action but New GM Dehndants did not choose That action but instead to conceal and destroy constitutionally madeted Discovery and attempts to Manipulate This court into an accessory as well to its court into an accessory as well to its (course) for The Odudant New GM Must Disclose People u Meredith (1981) 29 C3d 682 696 175 CR 612

Filed 10/02/15 As supported by Egge, of B.t. C Plaintiff was in Lad at Three time of Notice to file a Claim with the old Gr Defendant Plantiff orgues Defendents did not Provide tue Oiligence to individules in dail Concerning Due process rights as Plaintill access to TV, Kadiopprint are all restricted The Magnitude of The Miscouchiet was massive mough to move for menorandum postrig in institution were such restrictions exist imbler v Pachman (1976) धरम ७६ ५०५ १२५ ५७ । १४ २४ १४४ । ४१ 96 5 cf a84 /US U Bogley (1985) 473 65 667 476 87 1 6d rel 481 490 105 5 ct 3375 Brady V Maryland (1963) 373 48 83 87 10 (2d 3 d 215 83 S ct 1194 see final code 1054(e) (Discovery Beguined by mitech states Constitution Must occur) trazaga 285 (RZ31 Plantit argues that Deick of any relief would be a Manifestation of injustice and Man, festly unconsiderable I Declare under Pualty of pryay The Foregoing is true

4/2/10 0-10 -50f5 Dar 09-5pd 26-mb Doc 13499 a Filed 10/02/15 Entered 10/13/15 12:48:45 Main Document Pg 39 of 81

Po Box 32200

Stockton Cu 95217

UNATED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
IN RE
GENERAL Motor IIC
16 NITION SWITCH INTIGATION

Darryl Dunanare
Plaintiff
V
General Motors et al
Defendants

1061

Superior Court of California County of Solano



CLERK OF THE COURT

July 22, 2015

Ulonda Hill Trust Account Office 1600 California Drive Vacaville, CA 95687

Re: DARRYL DUNSMORE V GMC, LOBEL, VIKING, ET AL.

Solano Superior Court Case No. FCS045638

Dear Ms Hill:

Enclosed please find the following documents in regards to the above case:

1. Initial/Subsequent Billing Statement

If you have any questions, please don't hesitate to contact me at (707) 207-7330.

Sincerely,

Legal Process Clerk II
Civil/Small Claims Division

Cc: Darryl Dunsmore w/enclosures

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SOLANO

INITIAL BILLING STATEMENT

| DAT | E: | July 22, 2015 | |
|------|---------|--|-------------|
| TO: | | Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation | |
| | | County Jail | |
| (Sup | | o Government Code §68635(d) and an <i>Order on</i> Court) filed on <u>July 15, 2015,</u> please forward paymows: | |
| NAM | E OF II | NMATE:DARRYL DUNSMORE | |
| INM | ATE NO |): <u>AD6237</u> | |
| PRIS | ON/JA | IL FACILITY: CALIFORNIA MEDICAL FACILITY | |
| SOL | ANO C | OUNTY CASE NUMBER: FCS045638 | |
| CAL | CULAT | ION OF INITIAL PARTIAL FILING FEE PAYABLE BY | INMATE: |
| (1) | Filing | fee: <u>\$ 435.00</u> | |
| (2) | (a) | Average monthly deposits to inmate's account: | \$ 0 |
| | (b) | Average monthly balance in the inmate's account for the six-month period immediately preceding | |
| | | the application: | <u>\$ 0</u> |
| (3) | 20% | of the greater of (2)(a) or (2)(b): | \$ 0 |
| (4) | INITIA | AL PAYMENT NOW DUE AND PAYABLE: | \$ 0 |

Please pay amount listed on line (4). Mail payment to:

Superior Court of California, County of Solano Attention: CIVIL/SMALL CLAIMS
Old Solano Courthouse
580 Texas Street
Fairfield, CA 94533

09-50026-mg Doc 13499 Filed 10/02/15 Entered 10/13/15 12:48:45 Pg 42 of 81 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA **COUNTY OF SOLANO**

| Clerk of the Superior Court |
|-----------------------------|
|-----------------------------|

JUL 2 2 2015

| | | The specific was a specific with a specific was a specific |
|---|--|---|
| DEFENDANT: <u>GN</u> | MC, LOBEL, VIKING, ET AL. | CLERK'S APPLICATION AND ORDER |
| | vacating default described below. vacating judgment described below. vacating answer and/or other responsive complex case determination pursuant to other. | |
| | CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET | |
| Date filed: | <u>7/15/15</u> | |
| Reason: Ite | m #2 of Civil Case Cover Sheet is marked | i "Is" complex. |
| • | Ity of perjury that the foregoing is true an | d correct. |
| Executed on <u>7/15/1</u> | <u>5,</u> at Fairneid, Camomia. | |
| Executed on 7/15/1 | Ву: | Deputy Clerk |
| | By: | DER |
| Jpon consideration | By: ORI of the clerk's application and review of the | DER |
| Jpon consideration T IS ORDERED: | By: ORI of the clerk's application and review of the | DER ne court file: |
| Jpon consideration T IS ORDERED: | By: ORI of the clerk's application and review of the clerk's application and review of the clerk's of the defendant(s) named in the clerk's application. | DER ne court file: lerk's application is (are) vacated. |
| Jpon consideration T IS ORDERED: The def The jud | ORI of the clerk's application and review of the clerk's application and review of the call of the defendant (s) named in the call gment entered on | DER ne court file: lerk's application is (are) vacated. is vacated. |
| Jpon consideration T IS ORDERED: The def The jud | ORI of the clerk's application and review of the clerk's application a | DER ne court file: lerk's application is (are) vacated is vacated. acated. |
| Jpon consideration T IS ORDERED: The def The jud The ans | ORI of the clerk's application and review of the clerk's application | DER ne court file: lerk's application is (are) vacated. is vacated. acated. Case is determined not to be complex (CRC 3.403). |
| Jpon consideration T IS ORDERED: The def The jud The ans Case is | ORI of the clerk's application and review of the clerk's place of the clerk's application and review of the | DER ne court file: lerk's application is (are) vacated. is vacated. acated. Case is determined not to be complex (CRC 3.403). ant within 10 days of the mailing of this order. |
| Jpon consideration T IS ORDERED: The def The jud The ans Case is Comple | ORI of the clerk's application and review of the clerk's application | DER ne court file: lerk's application is (are) vacated. is vacated. acated. Case is determined not to be complex (CRC 3.403). |
| The def The jud The ans Case is Comple | ORI of the clerk's application and review of the clerk's place of the clerk's application and review of the | DER ne court file: lerk's application is (are) vacated. is vacated. acated. Case is determined not to be complex (CRC 3.403). ant within 10 days of the mailing of this order. |

09-50026-mg Doc 13499 Filed 10/02/15 Entered 10/13/15 12:48:45 Main Document Pg 43 of 81

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SOLANO

[X] 580 Texas Street, Fairfield, CA 94533 [] 600 Union Avenue, Fairfield, CA 94533

CASE NO: FCS045638

CERTIFICATE AND AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING

I, the undersigned, certify under penalty of perjury that I am employed as a deputy clerk of the above-entitled court and am not a party to the within-entitled action; that I served the attached document:

CLERK'S APPLICATION AND ORDER

By causing to be placed a true copy thereof in an envelope which was then sealed and postage fully prepaid on the date shown below; that I am readily familiar with the business practice for collection and processing of correspondence for mailing with the United States Postal Service; that the above stated document will be deposited in the Superior Court of California, County of Solano's outgoing mailbox for collection by county mail carriers on the date indicated. Said envelope was addressed to the attorneys for the parties, or the parties, as shown below:

DARRYL DUNSMORE #AD6237 CALIFORNIA MEDICAL FACILITY PO BOX 2000 VACAVILLE, CA 95696-2000

Dated: 7/22/15

09-50026-mg Doc 13499 Filed 10/02/15 Entered 10/13/15 12:48:45 Main Document

Clerk stamps date here when form is filed.

| 03 30020 mg | D0C 10-33 | i iica ic | |
|----------------|-----------------------------------|-----------|--|
| FW-003 | Order on Court I (Superior Court) | | |
| Person who ask | | | |

| | 44-003 | (Superior Court) | | FILED |
|----------|--------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------|--|
| | | sked the court to waive court for DUNSMORE #AD6237 | es: | Clerk of the Superior Court |
| | | address: CMF PO BOX 2000 | | JUL 1 5 2015 |
| | City: VACAVIL | | Zip: 95696-2000 | - Camamo |
| 2 | Lawyer, if perse-mail, and State | son in 1 has one (name, addresse Bar number): | s, phone number, | Fill in court name and street address: |
| | | | | Superior Court of California, County of SOLANO OLD SOLANO COURTHOUSE |
| <u>a</u> | A request to wai | ve court fees was filed on (date): 7/ | 5/2015 | 580 TEXAS STREET |
| 3) | - | ade a previous fee waiver order in the | | - FAIRFIELD, CA 94533 |
| | | | | Fill in case number and name: Case Number: |
| Pana | l this form care | fully. All checked boxes ☑ are co | urt orders | FCS045638 |
| iteua | i inis joini carej | any. The encence boxes in are co | <i></i> | Case Name: DUNSMORE V GMC, LOBEL, et al. |
| amoi | unt of the waived After reviewing | fees. The trial court may not dismis your: Request to Waive C | s the case until the ourt Fees | Request to Waive Additional Court Fees |
| | | grants your request, as follows: | | ees shall be made pursuant t Code §68635." |
| | (1) × Fee V | • • | st and waives your | court fees and costs listed below. (Cal. |
| | ~ . | apers in Superior Court | | ng notice and certificates |
| | Sheriff | copies and certifying copies 's fee to give notice | | ling papers to another court department t-appointed interpreter in small claims court |
| | | ee for phone hearing r's fee for attendance at hearing or to | ial if reporter prov | rided by the court |
| | • | nent for court investigations under P | • | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| | • Preparir | ng, certifying, copying, and sending | he clerk's transcrip | ot on appeal |
| | | in trust the deposit for a reporter's t | | |
| | _ | a transcript or copy of an official ele | _ | |
| | and o | | | waives your additional superior court fees 3.56.) You do not have to pay for the |
| | | Jury fees and expenses | | for a peace officer to testify in court |
| | | Fees for court-appointed experts Other (specify): | Cou | rt-appointed interpreter fees for a witness |

09-50026-mg Doc 13499 Filed 10/02/15 Entered 10/13/15 12:48:45 Main Document Pa 45 of 81 Case Number: FCS045638 Your name: b. \square The court denies your fee waiver request, as follows: Warning! If you miss the deadline below, the court cannot process your request for hearing or the court papers you filed with your original request. If the papers were a notice of appeal, the appeal may be dismissed. (1) The court denies your request because it is incomplete. You have 10 days after the clerk gives notice of this order (see date of service on next page) to: • Pay your fees and costs, or • File a new revised request that includes the items listed below (specify incomplete items): (2) The court denies your request because the information you provided on the request shows that you are not eligible for the fee waiver you requested (specify reasons): The court has enclosed a blank Request for Hearing About Court Fee Waiver Order (Superior Court), form FW-006. You have 10 days after the clerk gives notice of this order (see date of service below) to: • Pay your fees and costs in full or the amount listed in c. below, or • Ask for a hearing in order to show the court more information. (Use form FW-006 to request hearing.) c.

The court needs more information to decide whether to grant your request. You must go to court on the date below. The hearing will be about (specify questions regarding eligibility): Bring the following proof to support your request if reasonably available: Name and address of court if different from above: Hearing **Date** Warning! If item c is checked, and you do not go to court on your hearing date, the judge will deny your request to waive court fees, and you will have 10 days to pay your fees. If you miss that deadline, the court cannot process the court papers you filed with your request. If the papers were a notice of appeal, the appeal may be dismissed. Date: 7/15/2015 X Clerk, Deputy Signature of (check one): Judicial Officer Request for Accommodations. Assistive listening systems, computer-assisted real-time captioning, or sign language interpreter services are available if you ask at least 5 days before your hearing. Contact the clerk's office for Request for Accommodation, Form MC-410. (Civil Code, § 54.8.) Clerk's Certificate of Service A certificate of mailing is attached. I certify that I am not involved in this case and (check one): I handed a copy of this order to the party and attorney, if any, listed in (1) and (2), at the court, on the date below. This order was mailed first class, postage paid, to the party and attorney, if any, at the addresses listed in (1) and (2), , California on the date below from (city): FAIRFIELD

Clerk, by

Date: 7/15/2015

| | | SUM-100 |
|---|---|--|
| SUMMONS (CITACION JUDICIA NOTICE TO DEFENDANT: 6 ACC, COLOR (AVISO AL DEMANDADO): | L', Vileingstal | |
| YOU ARE BEING SUED BY PLAINTIFF: (LO ESTÁ DEMANDANDO EL DEMANDANTE): | ASSIGNED TO JUDGE Harry s. FOR ALL PURPO | O Kinnicutt OSES |
| NOTICE! You have been sued. The court may decide against y below. You have 30 CALENDAR DAYS after this summons and legal served on the plaintiff. A letter or phone call will not protect you case. There may be a court form that you can use for your responding Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), your of the court clerk for a fee waiver form. If you do not file your responding to the court. There are other legal requirements. You may want to call an referral service. If you cannot afford an attorney, you may be elightness nonprofit groups at the California Legal Services Web site (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), or by contacting your local court costs on any settlement or arbitration award of \$10,000 or more jAVISOI Lo han demandado. Si no responde dentro de 30 dias continuación. Tiene 30 DÍAS DE CALENDARIO después de que le entregue corte y hacer que se entregue una copia al demandante. Una cale en formato legal correcto si desea que procesen su caso en la contenta de su contenta estos formularios de la corte y más información. | al papers are served on you to file a way Your written response must be in propose. You can find these court forms acounty law library, or the courthouse neonse on time, you may lose the case buttorney right away. If you do not know gible for free legal services from a nore (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), the Califut or county bar association. NOTE: The in a civil case. The court's lien must be a law to the corte puede decidir en su contra sen esta citación y papeles legales para arta o una llamada telefónica no lo proporte. Es posible que haya un formular | pritten response at this court and have a copy oper legal form if you want the court to hear your and more information at the California Courts earest you. If you cannot pay the filing fee, ask by default, and your wages, money, and property ow an attorney, you may want to call an attorney operated in the court legal services program. You can locate lifornia Courts Online Self-Help Center the court has a statutory lien for waived fees and be paid before the court will dismiss the case. Sin escuchar su version. Lea la información a representar una respuesta por escrito en esta tolegen. Su respuesta por escrito tiene que estar rio que usted pueda usar para su respuesta. |
| biblioleca de leyes de su condado o en la corte que le quede ma que le dé un formulario de exención de pago de cuotas. Si no prodrá quiltar su sueldo, dinero y bienes sin más advertencia. Hay otros requisitos legales. Es recomendable que llame a un remisión a abogados. Si no puede pagar a un abogado, es posit programa de servicios legales sin fines de lucro. Puede encontra (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), en el Centro de Ayuda de las Corte. colegio de abogados locales. AVISO: Por ley, la corte tiene dere cualquier recuperación de \$10,000 ó más de valor recibida medi pagar el gravamen de la corte antes de que la corte pueda deser | as cerca. Si no puede pagar la cuota de resenta su respuesta a tiempo, puede abogado inmediatamente. Si no cono ble que cumpla con los requisitos para ar estos grupos sin fines de lucro en el si de California, (www.sucorte.ca.gov) icho a reclamar las cuotas y los costos fiante un acuerdo o una concesión de achar el caso. | de presentación, pida al secretario de la corte perder el caso por incumplimiento y la corte le perder el caso por incumplimiento y la corte le poce a un abogado, puede llamar a un servicio de la obtener servicios legales gratuitos de un el sitio web de California Legal Services, o poniendose en contacto con la corte o el sexentos por imponer un gravamen sobre arbitraje en un caso de derecho civil. Tiene que |
| The name, address, and telephone number of plaintiffs atto (El nombre, la dirección y el número de teléfono del aboga | orney, or plaintiff without an attorn do del demandante, o del demand | ney, is: Pro Sa dante que no tiene abogado, es): 2000 Vacaville Ca 95696 |
| DATE: (Fecha) 1 5 2015 | Clerk, by (Secretario) | Deputy (Adjunto) |
| as an individual de 2. as the person sue as the person sue under: CCP 416 | Proof of Service of Summons, (PON SERVED: You are served defendant. ed under the fictitious name of (specify): 5.10 (corporation) 5.20 (defunct corporation) 5.40 (association or partnership) | |

Clerk of the Superior Court

JUL 1 5 2015

ASSIGNED TO
JUDGE Harry S. Kinnicutt
FOR ALL PURPOSES

By MEPUTY CLEEN

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CANFORNA SOLAND COUNTY

Darry / Dursnan Plantict

Vacarille Ca 95696

Pro Se

GMC, Lobel, V. King 84 Al Ocherchants

Declaration in support

of TRO INJUNCTION ORDER IN SUPPORT OF COmpley unlimited civil Complement

I Darry Dinguare plaint. If in the above captioned case state Daclare The parties involved have been Notified by Us Mail as pertains to Defindants GMC, label, V. King of The Carphaint and TRO Multimeter and order to Show Cause

tocalare under puntity of perjary the baregoing

5/28/15 D-10 -e 6/12/15 09-50026-ntg Doc 13499 Filed 10/02/15 Entered 10/13/15 12:48:45 Main Document Pg 48 of 81

PO BOX 2000

Vacay: Ne Ca 95696

Clerk of the Superior Court

JUL 1 5 2015

DEPUTY CLERK

1 case # FCS 045638

ASSIGNED TO
JUDGE Harry S. Kinnicutt
FOR ALL PURPOSES

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Daryl Dusnare Plantiff

Pra Se

OMC, cobel, Viking Et Al! Debudents

> Motion for tro MUNETIVE Relief

Statement of the Case

This is a civil tort Claim unlimited Complex

Case Concerning large Corporation and Numerous Detendants

who in 2006-2007 conducted themselfs in a Fraudulant

Maner to Sell a volicel to the plaintiff known or

should have been known to be stolen with

Defective parts extru ntially installed by the

Befulant GMC or later by in Arthorized

op 50026-mg Dog 13499 Filed 10/02/15 Entered 10/13/15 12:48-45/ Main Document sed workers which Said g 49 of street in 12:48-45/ Main Document sed An accordent in 2007 Dec 3 of which the Plaintiff was wrongly Convited Suffering personal nywy loss of property instronal Distress and loss of fature earnings and with Malice and near have Continued to refuse plaintiff excel patory evidence Concerning such alteredian of which would exercate the plaintiff and hold defendant liable

statement of the facts

1. The plaintiff was nodved in an accident on Dec 3 2007

z. Defendants paid a disclaimen to the witness terry Rahn who Declared it on accident

3. Appraiser Report noncates a Duplicate title and indication theat the Vehical May be Stolen or taypered with

M. The Delendants had the aprility prior to the accident to know of the property being Stoler or tempered with

5. The Defendants Manufactured and installed Defective parts and failed to disclose such safty risks and Continue to do so 09-50026-mg Doc 13499 Filed 10/02/15

16. The Am bags D. I Pg 50 of 81 Deploy

7. The plaintiff has been wrongly convicted, Personally injured, Lost Luture earning, Property loss and smotion Distress

8. The Debudants Still own posses have knowledge of Material facts that are Exculpatory in nature in the form of present knowledge written, Recorded, Electoric records, Menes papers or other effects

9. Said above artifact Can Exercate the plaintiff and hold Defendant hable

Arguenat

Because the Defendants can be held both Criminally and Substantially, financailly Lieble Plaintiff Motions this coupt for a protective order Preventing The Defendants from Destoying any record that may pertain in any form to the allegations in the Civil Complaint or any other action that night Make such Records unaivailable, from taking ony Displinary or legal action against any employee withers with information Concerning the civil Complaint and its allegation

Declare under pualty of perjury the foregoing is true

\$\frac{12}{15} D-10 =
6/12/15 3.53

| ATTORNEY OR PART, MIGHOU PAGE 13499 SIGHT EI | ed 10/02/15 Entered 10/13/ | 15 12:48:45 Main Document CM-01 |
|---|--|---|
| AD6237 G-1-14 PO BOX 7 | 200 Vacav. 16 Ca 9569 | 6 |
| ATTORNEY FOR (Name) Pro 50 | FAX NO.: | Clerk of the Supering Co |
| SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF STREET ADDRESS: SOG TO SOME STREET ADDRESS: | | Clerk of the Superior Court |
| CASE NAME: | Court house | - CMano |
| CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET | cl, Viking Et Al | CASE NUMBER: |
| Unlimited Limited (Amount (Amount | Complex Case Designation Counter Joinder | F08045138 |
| demanded demanded is exceeds \$25,000) \$25,000 or less) | Filed with first appearance by defend (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.402) ow must be completed (see instructions | DEPT: 3 |
| 1. Check one box below for the case type that | | on page 2). |
| Auto Tort Auto (22) | Contract | Provisionally Complex Civil Litigation (Cal. Rules of Court, rules 3.400–3.403) Antitrust/Trade regulation (03) |
| Uninsured motorist (46) Other PI/PD/WD (Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death) Tort | Other collections (09) Insurance coverage (18) | Construction defect (10) Mass tort (40) |
| Asbestos (04) Product liability (24) | Other contract (37) Real Property | Securities litigation (28) Environmental/Toxic tort (30) |
| Medical malpractice (45) Other PI/PD/WD (23) Non-PI/PD/WD (Other) Tort | Eminent domain/Inverse condemnation (14) Wrongful eviction (33) | Insurance coverage claims arising from the above listed provisionally complex case types (41) |
| Business tort/unfair business practice (07) Civil rights (08) | Unlawful Detainer | Inforcement of Judgment Enforcement of judgment (20) |
| Defamation (13) Fraud (16) | Commercial (31) Residential (32) Drugs (38) | fliscellaneous Civil Complaint RICO (27) |
| Intellectual property (19) Professional negligence (25) Other non-PI/PD/WD tort (35) | Ludinial Paylow | Other complaint (not specified above) (42) liscellaneous Civil Petition Partnership and corporate governance (21) |
| Employment Wrongful termination (36) | Petition re: arbitration award (11) Writ of mandate (02) | Other petition (not specified above) (43) |
| | | es of Court. If the case is complex, mark the |
| factors requiring exceptional judicial manage a: Large number of separately representations. | ented parties d. 🔀 Large number | |
| b. Extensive motion practice raising dissues that will be time-consuming to c. Substantial amount of documentary | to resolve in other countie | ith related actions pending in one or more courters, states, or countries, or in a federal court tjudgment judicial supervision |
| 3. Remedies sought (check all that apply): a. 5 4. Number of causes of action (specify): \$\circ\$\$\scrt{\chi}\$\$\sqrt{\chi}\$\$ | ^ - | claratory or injunctive relief c. punitive |
| 5. This case is is not a class6. If there are any known related cases, file and | action suit. | |
| 0 1 7 0 | usmare 1 D. | J De |
| Plaintiff must file this cover sheet with the firs under the Probate Code, Family Code, or Wellington. | NOTICE st paper filed in the action or proceeding (elfare and Institutions Code). (Cal. Rules | |
| in sanctions. • File this cover sheet in addition to any cover • If this case is complex under rule 3.400 et se | sheet required by local court rule. | the state of |
| other parties to the action or proceeding. • Unless this is a collections case under rule 3. | 740 or a complex case, this cover sheet | will be used for statistical purposes only. |
| Form Adopted for Mandatory Use Judicial Counct, of California CM-010 [Rev. July 1, 2007] | CIVIL CASE ODVER SHEET | Ca. Standards of Judicia. Administration std. 3.10 www.courtinfc.ca.gov |

INSTRUCTIONS ON HOW TO COMPLETE THE COVER SHEET

To Plaintiffs and Others Filing First Papers. If you are filing a first paper (for example, a complaint) in a civil case, you must complete and file, along with your first paper, the Civil Case Cover Sheet contained on page 1. This information will be used to compile statistics about the types and numbers of cases filed. You must complete items 1 through 6 on the sheet. In item 1, you must check one box for the case type that best describes the case. If the case fits both a general and a more specific type of case listed in item 1, check the more specific one. If the case has multiple causes of action, check the box that best indicates the primary cause of action, To assist you in completing the sheet, examples of the cases that belong under each case type in item 1 are provided below. A cover sheet must be filed only with your initial paper. Failure to file a cover sheet with the first paper filed in a civil case may subject a party, its counsel, or both to sanctions under rules 2.30 and 3.220 of the California Rules of Court.

To Parties in Rule 3.740 Collections Cases. A "collections case" under rule 3.740 is defined as an action for recovery of money owed in a sum stated to be certain that is not more than \$25,000, exclusive of interest and attorney's fees, arising from a transaction in which property, services, or money was acquired on credit. A collections case does not include an action seeking the following: (1) tort damages, (2) punitive damages, (3) recovery of real property, (4) recovery of personal property, or (5) a prejudgment writ of attachment. The identification of a case as a rule 3.740 collections case on this form means that it will be exempt from the general time-for-service requirements and case management rules, unless a defendant files a responsive pleading. A rule 3.740 collections case will be subject to the requirements for service and obtaining a judgment in rule 3.740.

To Parties in Complex Cases. In complex cases only, parties must also use the Civil Case Cover Sheet to designate whether the case is complex. If a plaintiff believes the case is complex under rule 3.400 of the California Rules of Court, this must be indicated by completing the appropriate boxes in items 1 and 2. If a plaintiff designates a case as complex, the cover sheet must be served with the complaint on all parties to the action. A defendant may file and serve no later than the time of its first appearance a joinder in the plaintiffs designation, a counter-designation that the case is not complex, or, if the plaintiff has made no designation, a designation that

the case is complex. Auto Tort Auto (22)-Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death Uninsured Motorist (46) (if the case involves an uninsured motorist claim subject to arbitration, check this item r Instead of Auto) Other PI/PD/WD (Personal Injury/ Property Damage/Wrongful Death) Asbestos (04) Asbestos Property Damage Asbestos Personal Injury/ Wrongful Death Product Liability (not asbestos or toxiclenvironmental) (24) Medical Malpractice (45) Medical Malpractice-Physicians & Surgeons Other Professional Health Care Malpractice Other PI/PD/WD (23) Premises Liability (e.g., slip and fall) Intentional Bodily Injury/PD/WD (e.g., assault, vandalism) Intentional Infliction of **Emotional Distress** Negligent Infliction of **Emotional Distress** Other PI/PD/WD. Non-PI/PD/WD (Other) Tort **Business Tort/Unfair Business** Practice (07) Civil Rights (e.g., discrimination, false arrest) (not civil harassment) (08) Defamation (e.g., slander, libel) (13) Fraud (16) Intellectual Property (19) Professional Negligence (25) Legal Malpractice

Other Professional Malpractice (not medical or legal) Other Non-PI/PD/WD Tort (35)

Employment Wrongful Termination (36) Other Employment (15)

CM-010 [Rev. July 1, 2007]

CASE TYPES AND EXAMPLES Contract Breach of Contract/Warranty (06) Breach of Rental/Lease Contract (not unlawful detainer or wrongful eviction) Contract/Warranty Breach-Seller Plaintiff (not fraud or negligence)

Warranty Other Breach of Contract/Warranty Collections (e.g., money owed, open book accounts) (09)

Negligent Breach of Contract/

Collection Case-Seller Plaintiff Other Promissory Note/Collections Case

Insurance Coverage (not provisionally complex) (18) **Auto Subrogation** Other Coverage

Other Contract (37) Contractual Fraud

Other Contract Dispute Real Property

Eminent Domain/Inverse Condemnation (14)

Wrongful Eviction (33)

Other Real Property (e.g., quiet title) (26) Writ of Possession of Real Property Mortgage Foreclosure

Quiet Title

Other Real Property (not eminent domain, landlord/tenant, or foreclosure)

Unlawful Detainer

Commercial (31)

Residential (32)

Drugs (38) (if the case involves illegal drugs, check this item; otherwise, report as Commercial or Residential)

Judicial Review

Asset Forfeiture (05)

Petition Re: Arbitration Award (11)

Writ of Mandate (02)

Writ-Administrative Mandamus Writ-Mandamus on Limited Court

Case Matter

Writ-Other Limited Court Case

Review

Other Judicial Review (39)

Review of Health Officer Order

Notice of Appeal-Labor Commissioner Appeals

CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET

Provisionally Complex Civil Litigation (Cal. Rules of Court Rules 3.400-3.403) Antitrust/Trade Regulation (03)

CM-010

Construction Defect (10) Claims Involving Mass Tort (40) Securities Litigation (28)

Environmental/Toxic Tort (30)

Insurance Coverage Claims (arising from provisionally complex

case type listed above) (41) Enforcement of Judgment

Enforcement of Judgment (20) Abstract of Judgment (Out of County)

Confession of Judgment (nondomestic relations) Sister State Judgment

Administrative Agency Award (not unpaid taxes) Petition/Certification of Entry of Judgment on Unpaid Taxes

Other Enforcement of Judgment Case

Miscellaneous Civil Complaint RICO (27)

Other Complaint (not specified above) (42)

Declaratory Relief Only Injunctive Relief Only (nonharassment)

Mechanics Lien

Other Commercial Complaint Case (non-tortinon-complex) 🙈

Other Civil Complaint (non-tortinon-complex)

Miscellaneous Civil Petition Partnership and Corporate

Governance (21) Other Petition (not specified

above) (43) Civil Harassment Workplace Violence Elder/Dependent Adult

Abuse Election Contest

Petition for Name Change Petition for Relief From Late

Other Civil Petition

| 09-30020-11g Doc 13499 Filed 10/02/13 Efficied 10/13/13 | |
|---|--|
| ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State Bar number, and address) 9 53 0 18 1 20 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | FOR COURT USE ONLY |
| AOG 237 G-1-14 PO BOX ZOED Vacan. he can 95696 | FILED |
| | Clerk of the Superior Court |
| TELEPHONE NO: FAX NO. (Optional): | |
| E-MAIL ADDRESS (Optional): | JUL 1 5 2015 |
| ATTORNEY FOR (Name): P C Su | |
| SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF Solono STREET ADDRESS: 500 TRANSCOUNTY OF SOLONO | BY ON WOYYO |
| MAILING ADDRESS: | DEPUTY CLERK |
| CITY AND ZIP CODE: Fair Dail Company 1533 18 BRANCH NAME: COLONG CONTO CONTO LOUSE | |
| | \$435 FWOF |
| PLAINTIFF: Day During | |
| DEFENDANT: GRACL Lobel, U. King at se | |
| | ASSIGNED TO |
| DOES 1 TO LOO | ASSIGNED TO JUDGE Harry S. Kinnicut |
| COMPLAINT—Personal Injury, Property Damage, Wrongful Death | FOR ALL PURPOSES |
| MENDED (Number): Type (check all that apply): | TORPOSES |
| MOTOR VEHICLE OTHER (specify): | Control of the Contro |
| Property Damage Wrongful Death | |
| Personal Injury Other Damages (specify): | |
| Jurisdiction (check all that apply): ACTION IS A LIMITED CIVIL CASE | CASE NUMBERS |
| Amount demanded does not exceed \$10,000 | Torion is a limited of succession of the control of |
| exceeds \$10,000, but does not exceed \$25,000 ACTION IS AN UNLIMITED CIVIL CASE (exceeds \$25,000) | ENCAMEL 28 |
| ACTION IS RECLASSIFIED by this amended complaint | FCS005V38 |
| from limited to unlimited | |
| from unlimited to limited | |
| 1. Plaintiff (name or names): Dury | . અફાર્વો પૈત્ર દેશોનું જાય જ પ્રાથમ છે. જે જો જો જો જો જો જો |
| alleges causes of action against defendant (name or names): GMC Col2e | , Viking Et a) |
| 2. This pleading, including attachments and exhibits, consists of the following number of page | jes: |
| 3. Each plaintiff named above is a competent adult | |
| a. except plaintiff (name): | |
| (1) a corporation qualified to do business in California (2) an unincorporated entity (describe): | The state of the s |
| (3) a public entity (describe): | |
| (4) a minor an adult | |
| (a) for whom a guardian or conservator of the estate or a guard(b) other (specify): | ian ad litem has been appointed |
| (5) other (specify): | |
| b. except plaintiff (name): | |
| (1) a corporation qualified to do business in California | |
| (2) an unincorporated entity (describe): | |
| (3) a public entity <i>(describe):</i> (4) a minor an adult | |
| (a) for whom a guardian or conservator of the estate or a guardi | an ad litem has been appointed |
| (b) other (specify): | |
| (5) other (specify): | |
| Information about additional plaintiffs who are not competent adults is shown in Attac | chment 3. |
| Form Approved for Optional Use COMPI AINT—Personal Injury Property | Code of Civil Procedure, § 425.12 |

| • . | 09-50026-mg Doc 13499 Filed | 10/02/15 | | 10/13/15 | 12:48:45 | Main Document |
|-------|--|------------------------|---------------------------------|---|--------------------------------|--|
| SH | IORT TITLE: | Pg 54 | of 81 | | CASE NUMBER | : |
| 1 | Tringue V GMC | 57 a | | | | |
| 4. [5 | Plaintiff (name): Complete T is doing business under the fictitious name | Oun Shar (specify): | • | | | |
| | and has complied with the fictitious busine | | | 1 | | |
| 5. | Each defendant named above is a natural per a. except defendant (name): (1) a business organization, for (2) a corporation (3) an unincorporated entity (defendant name): | m unknown | .kkg□ | (2) a c (3) an | orporation unincorporate | nization, form unknown ed entity (describe): |
| | (4) a public entity (describe): | | | (4) a p | oublic entity (a | lescribe): |
| | (5) other (specify): | | | (5) oth | er (specify): | |
| | b. except defendant (name): (1) a business organization, for (2) a corporation (3) an unincorporated entity (defendant (name): | | d. 🗀 | (1) a b | corporation |): nization, form unknown ed entity <i>(describe):</i> |
| | (4) a public entity (describe): | | | (4) a p | oublic entity (| describe): |
| | (5) other (specify): | | | (5) oth | ner (specify): | |
| | Information about additional defendant | s who are not r | natural persor | ns is containe | ed in Complai | nt—Attachment 5. |
| 6. | The true names and capacities of defendants | sued as Does | are unknown | to plaintiff. | | |
| 7. | Defendants who are joined pursuant to | Code of Civil P | rocedure sec | tion 382 are | (names): | |
| 8. | This court is the proper court because a. at least one defendant now resides b. the principal place of business of a company to person or damage to person d. other (specify): condition of the proper court because at least one defendant now resides by the principal place of business of a contract of the person or damage to person or damage. | defendant corp | oration or uni | ncorporated urisdictional a ~ Subje | association is area. よくか | in its jurisdictional area. |
| 9. | Plaintiff is required to comply with a cla a. plaintiff has complied with applicabl b. plaintiff is excused from complying l | e claims statute | d es, or fy): /~_c | eli cal | ncop | icat ran |

Filed 10/02/15 Entered 10/13/15 12:48:45 09-50026-mg Doc 13499 Main Document Pg 55 of 81 **PLD-PI-001** CASE NUMBER SHORT TITLE: BMC The following causes of action are attached and the statements above apply to each (each complaint must have one or more causes of action attached): a. Motor Vehicle General Negligence Intentional Tort T Products Liability **Premises Liability** Fraud Other (specify): 11. Plaintiff has suffered a. wage loss loss of use of property hospital and medical expenses general damage property damage loss of earning capacity other damage (specify): wong ful (on, cto) The damages claimed for wrongful death and the relationships of plaintiff to the deceased are listed in Attachment 12. as follows: b. 13. The relief sought in this complaint is within the jurisdiction of this court. 14. Plaintiff prays for judgment for costs of suit; for such relief as is fair, just, and equitable; and for a. (1) compensatory damages (2) punitive damages The amount of damages is (in cases for personal injury or wrongful death, you must check (1)): (1) according to proof (2) in the amount of: \$ 15. The paragraphs of this complaint alleged on information and belief are as follows (specify paragraph numbers): 6/12/15

> COMPLAINT—Personal Injury, Property Damage, Wrongful Death

09-50026-mg__.Doc.13499__Filed.10/02/15 Entered 10/13/15 12:48:45 Main Document The Sheriff must have original, signed instructions by the profess of page without attorney in accordance with CCP 262 and 687.010 www.sdsheriff.net/csb courtlocations.html

| Darry Dursnage vs GMC, Cabel, V. King Ctal Alaintiff Case Number |
|--|
| To the Sheriff, you are instructed to serve the following documents: |
| Summons and Complaint/Petition Order to Show Cause Claim of Plaintiff/Defendant (Small Claims) Order to Appear Civil Bench Warrant Other |
| Writ of Possession for Real Property (Eviction) |
| Agent to Contact for Eviction: Daytime Phone: () Ext: |
| Are there any officer safety concerns that you are aware of? Yes No Gate Code: |
| Explain: |
| Agent for Service (if applicable) Address: Viking 7878 Clair mant Bluel |
| |
| City Sun Diego Cu State CA Zip 92111 Gate Code (if applicable) Best time to attempt service at this address: |
| Description: / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / |
| Name of Employer (if applicable) Leston 6-energy |
| Employer's Address 5230 Les Virgeres Rol City Calabasas State CA Zip91307-3447 |
| Best time to attempt service at employer |
| Special Instructions |
| ************************************** |
| Name of attorney (or party without attorney) requesting service Day Dusney A06237 62-224 |
| Address Po Box 2000 City Vacauille State Ca G5696 |
| Telephone Number () Fax Number () |
| Email address |
| Signature Date Date |
| HEARING DATE LAST DAY TO SERVE FEE WAIVER ATTACHED? Y N |

09-50026-<u>mg _ Doc.13499 _ Filed 10/02/15 Entered 10/13/15 12:48:45 Main Document</u>
The Sheriff must have original, signed instructions by the profes of pay without attorney in accordance with CCP 262 and 687.010

www.sdsheriff.net/csb courtlocations.html

| Plaintiff Defendant Case Number |
|---|
| To the Sheriff, you are instructed to serve the following documents: |
| Summons and Complaint/Petition Order to Show Cause Claim of Plaintiff/Defendant (Small Claims) Order to Appear Civil Bench Warrant Other |
| Writ of Possession for Real Property (Eviction) |
| Agent to Contact for Eviction: Daytime Phone. () Ext: |
| Are there any officer safety concerns that you are aware of? Yes No Gate Code: |
| Explain: - |
| PARTY TO BE SERVED: GMC Cox P Agent for Service (if applicable) |
| Address: HDFC CHUBB General resonance Company Cimited 5th Flor |
| City: Copess towers Narinan Point State Murragin 400-021 Gate Code (if applicable) Best time to attempt service at this address: |
| Description |
| DISTINGUISHING MARKS SCARS OF TATTOOS DRIVER'S LICENSE # AND STATE Name of Employer (if applicable) Employer's Address 8585 E Frank Lloyd was ht Blud City Scotts dale Best time to attempt service at employer |
| Special Instructions |
| Special instructions |
| ************************************** |
| POBOR 2000 City State Zip Po Bor 2000 |
| Telephone Number () Fax Number () |
| Email address |
| Signature |
| HEARING DATE LAST DAY TO SERVE FEE WAIVER ATTACHED? Y N |

| www.susnerm.neucsp-countocations.nam |
|--|
| Day Defendant VS GMC Lobe Vilug Stal Case Number |
| To the Sheriff, you are instructed to serve the following documents: |
| Summons and Complaint/Petition Gorder to Show Cause Claim of Plaintiff/Defendant (Small Claims) Order to Appear Civil Bench Warrant Other |
| ☐Writ of Possession for Real Property (Eviction) |
| Agent to Contact for Eviction: Daytime Phone:() |
| Are there any officer safety concerns that you are aware of? Yes No Gate Code: |
| Explain: |
| PARTY TO BE SERVED: Coke (Agent for Service (if applicable): Address: 1150 Magno (ca Ave |
| City: Anahe.m State: CA Zip: 9180(-2605- |
| Gate Code (if applicable): Best time to attempt service at this address: |
| Description: / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / |
| Name of Employer (if applicable) |
| Employer's Address |
| City: State CA Zip |
| Best time to attempt service at employer Special Instructions: |
| The Sheriff's Department DOES NOT guarantee service. The Sheriff's Department is entitled to its fees whether the service is completed or not. (California Government Code 26738) All communications, refunds and collections will be made to the name and address listed below: Name of attorney (or party without attorney) requesting service: |
| Address City State: Zip |
| Po Box 2000 Vacaville ca 95696 |
| Telephone Number: () Fax Number: () |
| Email address |
| Signature Date |
| HEARING DATE LAST DAY TO SERVE FEE WAIVER ATTACHED? Y N |

The Sheriff One on the Country of Attachment, please copies the structure of the country of the

| | VS | | · |
|--|--|-----------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Plaintiff | | Defendant | |
| Court Case Number | | Levying Officer File Number | |
| the Sheriff, you are instructed to execute a: (One le | vy per instruction ONLY): | | |
| □ BANK LEVY □ Levy is for any and all accounts of the Judgmen □ Including but not limited to account(s) | | | |
| Levy is to be limited to account(s): | | | |
| ☐ THIRD PARTY LEVY ☐ Levy is for all funds owed to the Judgment Debt☐ Levy is to be limited to: | or(s) by the Third Party. | | |
| RENT LEVY (Levy is for all rents due during the 2 ye | | | |
| PERSONAL PROPERTY LEVY (The Sheriff is direct | ted to Levy and sell the deb | tor's personal property lis | ted below) |
| Address where personal property is located (Property | must be in a public place or a separ | ate "Break-in" order issued by th | ne court is required) |
| TILL TAP (Levy on contents of all cash receptacles i | n a going business) | | |
| KEEPER-CASH ONLY (Levy on all cash and cash equivalence of the going business) (Requires a minus deeper is to be installed for \$\int 8\$, \$\int 12\$ \$\int 24\$ hours. | PERTY (Levy on all cash and cash nimum fee deposit of \$1,500 pend | ing further quotation) | ss AND seize and se BV(S) |
| Note: Fee waiver does not apply to the fee for the keeper(s). Name(s) of Judgment Debtor(s) whose property is subj | Recoer fees MUST be paid in a | idvance | nown/applicable) |
| <u>, and the second secon</u> | | | |
| SERVE LEVY UPON: | | | |
| Address: | | | |
| City: | 01-1 | CA Zip: | |
| Special Instructions: | | | |
| | | | |
| The Sheriff's Department is entitled to its fees wheth All communications, refunds and collect | rtment DOES NOT guarantee sen er the service is completed or no ions will be made to the nam | t. (California Government Coc | de 26738) w: |
| Name of attorney (or party without attorney) requesting service | | | |
| Address | City: | State | Zip: |
| Telephone Number: () | Fax Number: (|) | |
| Email Address: | | | |
| ignature | Date | | |

09-50026-mg Doc 13499 Filed 10/02/15 Entered 10/13/15 12:48:45 Main Document Pg 60 of 81

| This form asks the court to waive additional court fees that are not covered in a current order. If you have not already received an order that waived or reduced your court fees, you must complete and file a Request to Waive Court Fees (Superior Court), form FW-001, along with this form. 1 Your Information (person asking the court to waive the fees): | Clerk stamps date here when form is filed. |
|--|---|
| Name: | Fill in court name and street address: Superior Court of California, County of Solano Courthouse 580 texts 55 San Field Cu 94533 |
| a. The lawyer has agreed to advance all or a portion of your fees or costs (check one): Yes No b. (If yes, your lawyer must sign here): Lawyer's signature: If your lawyer is not providing legal-aid type services based on your low hearing to explain why you are asking the court to waive the fees. 3 Date your last court fee waiver order, if any, was granted: 4 Has your financial situation improved since your last Request to Waive Court | t Fees? 🗌 No 🔲 Yes (If yes, you |
| must fill out a new Request to Waive Court Fees, form FW-001, and attach i What other fees do you want your court fee waiver order to cover? (Check a a. Jury fees and expenses b. Court-appointed interpreter fees for a witness c. Fees for a peace officer to testify in court d. Reporter's daily fees (beyond 60-days after grant of a fee waiv e. Fees for court-appointed experts f. Other (specify): Courter Carper Why do you need these other services? (Explain): Courter Cour | t to this form.) ll that apply): |
| Notice: The court may order you to answer questions about your finances and late fees. If this happens and you do not pay, the court can make you pay the fees and is a change in your financial circumstances during this case that increases your abmotify the trial court within five days. (Use form FW-010.) If you win your case, to pay the fees. If you settle your civil case for \$10,000 or more, the trial court with amount of the waived fees. The trial court may not dismiss the case until the lient | also charge you collection fees. If there illity to pay fees and costs, you must the trial court may order the other side ll have a lien on the settlement in the |
| declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California the correct. Date: 6/17/15 Day 1 Sign here | |

Judicial Council of California, www.courtinfo.ca.gov Revised July 1, 2009, Mandatory Form Government Code, § 68511,5 California Rules of Court, Rule 3.51 Request to Waive Additional Court Fees (Superior Court)

FW-002, Page 1 of 1

American LegalNet, Inc. www.FormsWorkflow.com 09-50026-mg Doc 13499 Filed 10/02/15 Entered 10/13/15 12:48:45 Main Document Pg 61 of 81

| | PLD-C-001(1) |
|---|---|
| SHORT TITLE: | CASE NUMBER: |
| Unsure V GMC 9TAL | |
| CAUSE OF ACTION—Breach of | Contract |
| ATTACHMENT TO Complaint Cross - Complaint (Use a separate cause of action form for each cause of action.) | |
| BC-1. Plaintiff (name): Dary Dursnor | |
| alleges that on or about (date): Z∪O 6 - Z□O 7 a written oral other (specify): | bel, vilcing |
| A copy of the agreement is attached as Exhibit A, or The essential terms of the agreement are stated in Atta That The Vehical was very or part and was Safe and the | id of occupations ship |
| BC-2. On or about (dates): 2006-2007 defendant breached the agreement by the acts specified in A (specify): by know. rgly allow.rg p NSURC The product known to he Performed Defective parts and | laintiff to purchuse and |
| BC-3. Plaintiff has performed all obligations to defendant except those obligat excused from performing. | tions plaintiff was prevented or |
| BC-4. Plaintiff suffered damages legally (proximately) caused by defendant's as stated in Attachment BC-4 as follows (specify): | breach of the agreement Severe prosumal injury emotions wing, wroughol Conviction |
| BC-5. Plaintiff is entitled to attorney fees by an agreement or a statute of \$ according to proof. BC-6. Other: TREDITE Cominges | |

Page _____

| | PLD-PI-001(5) |
|---|---|
| RT TITLE: | CASE NUMBER: |
| Dursnore V GMC ET AL | |
| CAUSE OF ACTION- | —Products Liability Page |
| (number) | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| ATTACHMENT TO Complaint Cross - Complain (Use a separate cause of action form for each cause of action.) | int |
| Plaintiff (name): Daryl Tushor | |
| Prod. L-1. On or about (date): Ucc 7 7007 | plaintiff was injured by the following product: |
| 2001 SAVANA VAN SIE | |
| Prod. L-2. Each of the defendants knew the product would be pure. The product was defective when it left the control of example was being used in the manner intended by the defendants. | each defendant. The product at the time of injury |
| used in the manner that was reasonably forese readily apparent. Adequate warnings of the date | eeable by defendants as involving a substantial danger not inger were not given. |
| Prod. L-3. Plaintiff was a purchaser of the product. bystander to the use of the product. | user of the product. other (specify): |
| bystander to the use of the product. | Unier (specify). |
| Prod. L- 4. Count One—Strict liability of the following de a. manufactured or assembled the produced produced by Does \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ | luct (names): GMC CT AL |
| | |
| The Late of the Manager of Compone | ent parts supplied to the manufacturer (names): GMC |
| Doesto | . 100 |
| c. sold the product to the public (names) | |
| Does\ to | 0 100 |
| Prod. L-5. Count Two—Negligence of the following defe | endants who owed a duty to plaintiff (names): V, K, ~ < |
| | . 15. 5 |
| Prod. L-6. Count Three—Breach of warranty by the following | lowing defendants (names): |
| a. Who breached an implied warranty b. who breached an express warranty we written oral | to <u>los</u> |
| Prod. L-7. The defendants who are liable to plaintiffs for ot listed in Attachment-Prod. L-7 as for | ther reasons and the reasons for the liability are follows: TREBIE Duninger as Dapuda Ad |

09-50026-mg Doc 13499 Filed 10/02/15 Entered 10/13/15 12:48:45 Main Document Pg 63 of 81

| | PLD-PI-001(1) |
|--|---|
| SHORT TITLE: | CASE NUMBER: |
| Dusmane v GMC, Lobel, Viking | |
| CAUSE OF ACTION—Motor Veh | nicle |
| (number) | |
| ATTACHMENT TO Complaint Cross - Complaint | |
| (Use a separate cause of action form for each cause of action.) | |
| Plaintiff (name): Cory Consumer MV- 1. Plaintiff alleges the acts of defendants were negligent; the acts were the legal and damages to plaintiff, the acts occurred | (proximate) cause of injuries |
| on (date): 7007 Dec 3 | |
| at (place): East 5Th St National Co | ty (2 91950 |
| MV- 2. DEFENDANTS | |
| a. The defendants who operated a motor vehicle are (names): | |
| b. The defendants who employed the persons who operated a motor vare (names): | vehicle in the course of their employment |
| Does to c. The defendants who owned the motor vehicle which was operated to | with their permission are <i>(names): し</i> しょ\ ててん |
| Does to d. The defendants who entrusted the motor vehicle are (names): | v. King stal |
| e. Does to | efendants and acted within the scope |
| f. Does to to to the reasons and the listed in Attachment MV-2f as follows: | Defendants Knowingly allowed |
| ADepudent adult Per Ponal Cod Stolen Defective product Resultin | e 368 to operate on es in a wronful Conviction |
| Does to | Page |

Page 1 of 1

Durmare y GMC S+ AC

__ Cursi of Nortion - Francis

Attachment to Complaint

FR-1 Plaintiff Dury Dunsmore

Alleges that Octobalant GMC, label, Uiking, ET AL On or about 2006-2007 Detraded plaintiff as fullows

FR-7 & intertional er Nogligent Misropresentation a. Deludant Made Representation of Material fact as fallows that the Valued being sold was legal, safe free from Defect or un Arthurize workmarsh.p

b. These representations were in fact false The Truth was as fallows

The Vahical was manufactured with Defective parts Stoler, and illegal with UN Authorized parts or worken ship performed on The product

C. When Ochendant Mude These representation

Delendant knew they were feder or Detreving The Detendant had No reasonable ground for believing The Representations were true

d. Deheviant Made the representations with The intent to dehrand not induce plaint. If to act as Described in Item FR-5 At The time plaintiff acted plaintiff did not know The Representations were false and believed They were true Plaintit acted in justifiable reliance you the truth of The Kyresockations

1 Dursmore v BMC, Word, Vilary ST Al

Cause of Action - Grand

FR3 12 Concal ment

a. Defendant Concealed or Surpress Material faste as fallows

Parts or UN Authorized workmarship

b. Detendent concealed or surpressed Material facts

Blockendent was bound to Disclose

Block telling plaintiff other facts to Misland

Plaint. It and prevent plaint. If from Discovering
The Concealed or Surpressed facts

C. Ochedant Concealed or surpressed These Suits with the intent to Detrand and notice plaintiff to act as Described in ITAM FR-5 At The true Plaintiff acted plaintiff was unaware of the Concealed or surpressed facts and would not have taken the action if plaintiff had known the facts

Number Cause of Action - Fraud

FR4 B Promise without intent to Perform

A. Delendant Mude a promise about a Metherical Mether
with out my intaking of Performing it FR4(a) as fallows
that the Vehical was safe from Defect, Part or
Pear workmaship and legal

b. Defendants promise without my inhertion of Performagined was much with the notat to defraval and include plaintiff to rely upon it and to act upon it as Described in Item FK-5 At the time plaintiff extend plaintiff was unaware of Defendants intultion not to Perform the promise Plaintiff acted in Justificiable reliance upon the Promise

FR-5 Ed the Jusifiable reliance you odudants conduct Plaintiff was voluced to act as fallows

To operate en unsufe Vehicel That was stolen with Delective parts and in Authorized workmarship

FR-6 A Bécause the plaint. If reliance upon Udenlant conduct Plaint. If has been transpect as fullows wrong ful Conviction, lost Property, smotional Distress Personal injury, Lost Siture Earnings

| 09-50026-mg Doc 13499 Filed 10/02/15 E | of 81 PLD-PI-001(2) |
|--|---|
| SHORT IIILE: | CASE NUMBER: |
| DUNSMOR V GMC ST | <i>A</i> (|
| (number) CAUSE OF ACTIO | ON—General Negligence Page |
| ATTACHMENT TO Complaint Cross - Compl | laint |
| (Use a separate cause of action form for each cause of action | .) |
| GN-1. Plaintiff (name): Day (Duyno | (|
| alleges that defendant (name): (3 / (| 0621, U, King |
| | |
| Does to 0 | . |
| was the legal (proximate) cause of damages to plaintiff negligently caused the damage to plaintiff | f. By the following acts or omissions to act, defendant |

on (date): 2007

at (place): Viking resource Lobel financial

(description of reasons for liability):

the Ochadants willfully or negligibly sold und nouved a Motor Vehical to the plaintiff that they should have known was manifectured with Ochective Parets by Defendant GMC or that a Dupicate title existed and that The Vehical was possibly Stolen and un-Authorized workmonskip or Parts were nuclued and failed Report such issues to Authorities placing Plaintilf at Risk of injury and Death or other Linkslities That resulted on occ 3 2007 in The form of on accident and wrongful Conviction and That the accident and wrongful Conviction and That the Defendant adult Defendant Caused person injury To a Dependent adult with in the meaning of Penal Code 368 and Continue. To Cover these facts and Cause Plaintiff To be held incorrected and wrongfully convicted

Pg 68 of 81 PLD-PI-001(3) CASE NUMBER SHORT TITLE: DUNSMOR U GMC ET AL CAUSE OF ACTION—Intentional Tort Page ATTACHMENT TO Complaint Cross - Complaint (Use a separate cause of action form for each cause of action.) IT-1. Plaintiff (name): Darry Dunsmore alleges that defendant (name): GMC, Lobel, V.King CT Al

Entered 10/13/15 12:48:45

Main Document

Filed 10/02/15

Does to low

was the legal (proximate) cause of damages to plaintiff. By the following acts or omissions to act, defendant intentionally caused the damage to plaintiff

Dec 3 2007 on (date): at (place): East STI ST

Doc 13499

(description of reasons for liability):

The Defendants willfully Manufactured or Sold knowingly Defective Vehicals Manufactured with Ocfective Parts The Defindants knew of should have known the Vehical had Defective Parts when Sold To plaintiff because a Duplicate title existed the Octendents further sold and INSURCO The Vehical knowing it was stolen and possible Délective workmuship or Ports were involved upon such knowledg Willfully Sought to Cover up such information and Sailed to contact authorities Resulting in Plaintiffs injuries and wrongful Conviction

| · , | 09-50026-mg | Doc 13499 | Filed 10/02/15 Pg (| Entered 10/ 69 of 81 | 13/15 12:48:45 | Main Document PLD-PI-001(6) |
|------|--------------------------------------|--|---|-------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------|
| SHOF | RT TITLE: | | | l i | CASE NUMBER. | |
| | DUNSMOR | V G (| mplary Dama | ges Attachr | ment | Page |
| | ATTACHMENT TO | | | | U. King | et al |
| | EX-1. As additional | damages against d | defendant <i>(name):</i> | (000) | · · · · · · · · · | |
| | Plaintiff allege | s defendant was g | uilty of | | | |
| | malice | | • | | • | |
| | fraud | | | | | |
| | oppres as defined in to make an ex | sion Civil Code section kample of and to p | 3294, and plaintiff sho unish defendant. | ould recover, in add | ition to actual damag | es, damages |

Ex.2. The facts supporting plaintiffs claim are as follows: The Defendents known or Should have known that the Vehicul was Defective and Manufactured with Defective parts and that a Duplicate Title existed and or that the Vehicul May have Stolen telective parts, Mechanical Problems or other Stolen telective parts, Mechanical Problems or other Defects and that Defendents willfully and fraudulatly covered up thuse facts or failed to Disclose Resulting in personal injury, loss of property, incurrection, wrongful Conviction and that the Defendent knew the plaintiff was a Dependent adult that they have appressed the plaintiff by with holding exceptatory Evidence to appress the plaintiff and keep him incurrected and wrongly Conviced

EX-3. The amount of exemplary damages sought is

a. ____ not shown, pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 425.10.

| ٠. | | | _ | |
|----|-----|----|--------|---------|
| Ь | - T | \$ | TREDIE | - Cuaye |
| υ. | - | • | | .) |
| | | | | |

09-50026-mg Doc 13499 Filed 10/02/15 Entered 10/13/15 12:48:45 Main Document Pa 70 of 81 AD6237 G-1-14 JUL 1 5 2015 PO BOX 2000 Clerk of the Superior Court Vacaville Ca 95696 Pro Se IN THE SUPERIOR COURT STATE OF CALLFORNIA COUNTY OF SOLAND case 705045638 Darry 1 D'unsmare Plaintiff GMC, LOBEL VIKING ETALL Defendants Attachments in support of UNCIMITED CIVIL Action

Attachment (A)

V. King resurence policy - - - - - 1 page

"Attachment (b)

Western General insurance Policy - - - - - 1 Page

Attachment (C)

Correspondence with insurance - - - - - - - - - - - - 16 Pages

1087

| 109-50026-mg Doc 13499 Filed 10/02/15 Entered 10/13/15 12:48:45 Main Document Pg 71 of 81 |
|---|
| correspondence label financial 1 Page |
| Attachnent (c) |
| Correspondence General Mostors Corp 1 Page |
| Attachnet (S) Claim estimate 35 Pages |
| Attachnuf (g) |
| Value sloope Market report 16 pages |
| table of Contacts |
| civil Complaint 14 Pages |
| TRO Motion 7 Pages |
| order to Shou Cause 7 Page Letter to Clerk. For Metron hearing Date 1 Page |
| Declaration in support of TRO 1 Page |
| • |
| |
| 6/12/15 |
| 5/28/15 010- |

09-50026-mg Doc 13499 Filed 10/02/15 Entered 10/13/15 12:48:45 Main Document Pg 72 of 81

Serv. Ce Request #71-1475390686

RS! Correspondence May 14 2015

Darry Dursmore \$06237

G-2-224 Po Box 2000

Vacav. Ne Ca 95696

Because of the Failure of My GMC Velical

I an inable to Correspond by telephone as I

have been wrongly Gnuicted behind The Mechanical

have of My Velical and an in prison Seeking

Exculpatory evidence supporting the Defective

Parts that My Velical was Manifectured with

I have been forced to file Civil litigation

and request that This correspondence be forwarded

to your legal Dept for processing of Discovery

and interographics

5/29/15

09-50026-mg Doc 13499_ Filed 10/02/15 Entered 10/13/15 12:48:45 (Main Document)

| SUPERIO COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF STATE DIEGO |
|---|
| DATE 5-9-08 AT 9:00 A M. HON. THEODORE M. WEATHERS JUDGE DEPT. 41 |
| MH# 102411 CASE# CS218128 CS215653 M042269 |
| PROS. # BBA96501 BBA01401 MISD |
| CLERK GLORIA CARRILLO REPORTER RITA OTERO CSR# 3313 REPORTER'S ADDRESS: PO BOX 120128, SAN DIEGO, CA 921 12-0128 |
| IN THE MATTER OF DAVID WILLIAMS, DDA & K. WESTFALL, DCA |
| DARRYL DUNSMORE DEPUTY COUNTY COUNSEL/DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY |
| AKA: DARRYL LEE DUNSMORE SELENA EPLEY - PUB DEF |
| ATTORNEY (APPOINTED/RETAINED) |
| INTERPRETER SWORN/CERT LANGUAGE |
| TYPE OF PROCEEDING |
| ☑ DETERMINATION OF MENTAL COMPETENCY (PC1368/1372) ☐ DEVELOPMENTALLY DISABLED PETITION (WI 6500) |
| ☐ OTHER ☐ MOTION TO REMOVE FIREARM PROHIBITION (WI 8103) |
| □ OFF CALENDAR □ CONTINUED for □ further investigation □ forensic exam □ Dr.'s testimony □ □ Defendant's presence waived based on Counsel/Medical representations. □ Jury trial requested for PC 1368 proceeding. □ Counsel stipulate: report received into evidence; Dr. DAVID NAIMARK is qualified; and doctor's presence waived. □ Dr(s) |
| Superior Court, see Future Dates below. CUSTODY STATUS: Defendant REMANDED to custody of Sheriff. Court reinstates ball in amount of \$\ \bigcap NO BAIL. |
| ☐ Trailing Cases: Case# Ball \$ Case# Ball \$ ☑ Court finds defendant IS NOT mentally competent to stand trial; orders defendant committed/returned to: |
| ∇ Patton State Hospital County Jail, PSU Las Colinas, PSU LOther Lother |
| For: Maximum term of 3 Mos Myrs. Credit Time Served 56 days. Remainder of previously imposed term. |
| Maximum sentence for most serious charge is 4 ☐ months ☒ years. ☒ Clerk to notify Registrar of Voters. |
| □ Defendant consents to the administration of antipsychotic medication. □ Court <u>authorizes</u> the treatment facility (to include San Diego County Jall's Psychiatric Security Units and Patton State Hospital) to involuntarily administer antipsychotic medication to the defendant when and as prescribed by the defendant's treating psychiatrist. (See Commitment Order) □ Court <u>does not</u> authorize the treatment facility to administer antipsychotic medication to the defendant involuntarily. □ Court orders criminal charges dismissed pursuant to PC 1370.2. Defendant RELEASED on Case(s) |
| AFTER HEARING PURSUANT TO WI 6500: Court finds RESPONDENT has been a resident of the State of California for more than one year; is mentally retarded; and is a danger to self or others. Petition GRANTED. Court orders RESPONDENT placed, for a period of no longer than one year: with the STATE DEPARTMENT OF DEVELOPMENTAL SERVICES in an OPEN TREATMENT FACILITY which the court finds to be the least restrictive type of residential placement necessary to achieve the purposes of treatment; and which is proper and adequate for the protection and safety of others and the welfare of the respondent. Court finds RESPONDENT IS NOT within the scope of WI 6500, et seq. Petition DENIED. |
| AFTER HEARING PURSUANT TO WI 8103: Motion DENIED. Motion GRANTED, firearm prohibition is removed. DOJ notified. |
| ☑OTHER: Court orders deft. to be seen by appropriate dr's. in jail fo rhis medical cond as stated by Dr. S. Hennigan dated 05-01-08. SDSO specifically ordered to address any and all orthotic needs. The Court suspends crim. procdings on M042269. |
| FUTURE DATES: |
| ☐ Continued for reasons noted above to at in Dept ☐ Forensic examination in Room 1003 on at SHERIFF ORDERED TO PRODUCE DEFENDANT Case(s) set in ☐ Central ☐ North County ☐ East County ☐ South County Division for: ☐ Readiness on at in Dept ☐ Preliminary Exam on at in Dept ☐ Further Proceedings on at in Dept on at in Dept ☐ OTHER: |
| Attest a true copy. Dated: 05-09-08 CLERK, by g. carrillo , Deputy Clerk |
| Distribution by: 5 gac |

| SECTION 1 Complete this information to | - 1 / 1 |
|---|---|
| Print Name: Darry Dons | Marc Bkg #: 9701989 Housing Unit: (C DOB: 9/12/67 |
| Dental Ser | alth Services SDCJ LCDF Other vices DDF SBDF |
| Reason for request for health se | ervices: Another Bridge Broke on other side |
| I authorize and request the San Diego Cour | nty Sheriff's Medical and Mental Health Services, its physicians, psychiatrists, dentists of administer and perform any and all medical and dental examinations, treatments, and |
| I understand that the Sheriff's Department will be deducted from my account during the care if I am unable to pay the \$3.00 fee. | shall charge me \$3.00 for any routine sick call visit that I initiate and that this charge he current or future stays in jail. I also understand that I shall not be denied medical |
| Signed: | |
| SECTION 2 | FOLLOW-UP INFORMATION |
| (This s Charge: ☐ Yes ☐ No | ection is to be completed by Medical Staff Only) |
| Authorized signature | ID#Date:Charge posted byDate |
| | Amount collected: \$\Bigcup \$3.00 \Bigcup \$\Bigcup_{\text{\ti}\text{\texi{\text{\texi}\text{\text{\text{\texi{\text{\texi{\texi{\texi{\texi{\texi}\tint{\text{\texi{\texi}\tint{\text{\text{\texi}\text{\texi}\texit{\tet |
| | |
| SECTION 3 Date R | equest Received: Date Sean G 3 1 2009 |
| NOT SEEN DUE TO: Court Non-medical problem referred t Seen previously for same non-m | t reviewed t |
| "S"ubjective Chief Complaint: | |
| "O"bjective: | You are scheduled to see |
| "A"ssessment Nursing Diagnosis: | the divist- |
| "P"lan: Rx GIVEN as per SNP SCHEDULED FOR SICK CA | LL WITH: MD RN NP DDS Psych/Mental Health Specialist Other |
| ☐ PATIENT EDUCATION AND | |
| SIGNATURE: | \\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\ |
| | , |
| SAN DIEGO COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEI MEDICAL SERVICES DIVISI SICK CALL REQUEST | |
| | D.O.B: |
| | |
| Form J212 Rev 11/01 | Booking Number Date (MM-DD-YY) |

| SECTION 1 Complete this information to request medical attention. |
|---|
| Print Name: |
| Medical Services I am requesting Mental Health Services Dental Services DDF SBDF VDF Other SBDF |
| Reason for request for health services: IN Pain Need Tosth Removed |
| I authorize and request the San Diego County Sheriff's Medical and Mental Health Services, its physicians, psychiatrists, dentists contracted agents, and medical personnel to administer and perform any and all medical and dental examinations, treatments, and diagnostic procedures deemed advisable or necessary. |
| I understand that the Sheriff's Department shall charge me \$3.00 for any routine sick call visit that I initiate and that this charge will be deducted from my account during the current or future stays in jail. I also understand that I shall not be denied medical care if I am unable to pay the \$3.00 fee. Signed: Date: 7/14/06 |
| Signed:Date:Date: |
| SECTION 2 FOLLOW-UP INFORMATION |
| (This section is to be completed by Medical Staff Only) Charge: Yes No |
| Authorized signature ID# Date: Charge posted by Date |
| If no charge, explain: Amount collected: \$\infty\$ \$3.00 \$\infty\$ \$\infty\$ |
| JUL 1 6 2009 |
| SECTION 3 Date Request Received: Date Seen: |
| |
| 1. SEEN IN HUR Chart reviewed 2. NOT SEEN DUE TO: Court Visit Released No Show/Refused Other Rescheduled 3. Non-medical problem referred to: 4. Seen previously for same non-medical request, (tennis shoes, blankets, etc.). No further evaluation. 5. NO FURTHER COMPLAINTS. Patient advised to submit another Sick Call Request Slip if problem recurs. |
| NOT SEEN DUE TO: Court Nisit Released No Show/Refused Other Rescheduled Non-medical problem referred to: Seen previously for same non-medical request, (tennis shoes, blankets, etc.). No further evaluation. NO FURTHER COMPLAINTS. Patient advised to submit another Sick Call Request Slip if problem recurs. |
| NOT SEEN DUE TO: Court Nisit Released No Show/Refused Other Rescheduled Non-medical problem referred to: Seen previously for same non-medical request, (tennis shoes, blankets, etc.). No further evaluation. NO FURTHER COMPLAINTS. Patient advised to submit another Sick Call Request Slip if problem recurs. |
| NOT SEEN DUE TO: Court Nisit Released No Show/Refused Other Rescheduled Non-medical problem referred to: Seen previously for same non-medical request, (tennis shoes, blankets, etc.). No further evaluation. NO FURTHER COMPLAINTS. Patient advised to submit another Sick Call Request Slip if problem recurs. |
| 2. NOT SEEN DUE TO: Court Visit Released No Show/Refused Other Rescheduled 3. Non-medical problem referred to: 4. Seen previously for same non-medical request, (tennis shoes, blankets, etc.). No further evaluation. 5. NO FURTHER COMPLAINTS. Patient advised to submit another Sick Call Request Slip if problem recurs. "S"ubjective Chief Complaint: The pain meds you are medical pain "O"bjective: Wattl pen by medical dental. |
| 2. NOT SEEN DUE TO: Court Visit Released No Show/Refused Other Rescheduled 3. Non-medical problem referred to: 4. Seen previously for same non-medical request, (tennis shoes, blankets, etc.). No further evaluation. 5. NO FURTHER COMPLAINTS. Patient advised to submit another Sick Call Request Slip if problem recurs. "S"ubjective Chief Complaint: The pain meds you are meds you are meds you dental pain "O"bjective: until peer by medical dental. "A"ssessment Nursing Diagnosis: "A"ssessment Nursing Diagnosis: "Hulley |
| 2. NOT SEEN DUE TO: Court Visit Released No Show/Refused Other Rescheduled 3. Non-medical problem referred to: 4. Seen previously for same non-medical request, (tennis shoes, blankets, etc.). No further evaluation. 5. NO FURTHER COMPLAINTS. Patient advised to submit another Sick Call Request Slip if problem recurs. "S"ubjective Chief Complaint: The pain meds you are medical pain "O"bjective: until peer by medical destal. "A"ssessment Nursing Diagnosis: "A"ssessment Nursing Diagnosis: "P"lan: Rx GIVEN as per SNP DDS SCHEDULED FOR SICK CALL WITH: MD RN NP DDS Psych/Mental Health Specialist |
| 2. NOT SEEN DUE TO: Court Visit Released No Show/Refused Other Rescheduled 3. Non-medical problem referred to: 4. Seen previously for same non-medical request, (tennis shoes, blankets, etc.). No further evaluation. 5. NO FURTHER COMPLAINTS. Patient advised to submit another Sick Call Request Slip if problem recurs. "S"ubjective Chief Complaint: Meds whe we phoule when denial pain "O"bjective: with pen by medical denial. "A"ssessment Nursing Diagnosis: "A"ssessment Nursing Diagnosis: "P"lan: Rx GIVEN as per SNP DIA SCHEDULED FOR SICK CALL WITH: MD RN NP DDS |
| 2. NOT SEEN DUE TO: Court Visit Released No Show/Refused Other Rescheduled 3. Non-medical problem referred to: 4. Seen previously for same non-medical request, (tennis shoes, blankets, etc.). No further evaluation. 5. NO FURTHER COMPLAINTS. Patient advised to submit another Sick Call Request Slip if problem recurs. "S"ubjective Chief Complaint: The plain meds was are for phoul work was denied pain "O"bjective: until peer by medical denied. "A"ssessment Nursing Diagnosis: "A"ssessment Nursing Diagnosis: "P"lan: Rx GIVEN as per SNP DDS Psych/Mental Health Specialist Other Other |
| 2. NOT SEEN DUE TO: Court Visit Released No Show/Refused Other Rescheduled 3. Non-medical problem referred to: 4. Seen previously for same non-medical request, (tennis shoes, blankets, etc.). No further evaluation. 5. NO FURTHER COMPLAINTS. Patient advised to submit another Sick Call Request Slip if problem recurs. "S"ubjective Chief Complaint: The pain Meds who we medical pain "O"bjective: Lintil peen by medical destal. "A"ssessment Nursing Diagnosis: "A"ssessment Nursing Diagnosis: "P"lan: Rx GIVEN as per SNP DIOS Psych/Mental Health Specialist Other PATIENT EDUCATION AND ADDITIONAL INFO: |
| 2. NOT SEEN DUE TO: Court Visit Released No Show/Refused Other Rescheduled 3. Non-medical problem referred to: 4. Seen previously for same non-medical request, (tennis shoes, blankets, etc.). No further evaluation. 5. NO FURTHER COMPLAINTS. Patient advised to submit another Sick Call Request Slip if problem recurs. "S"ubjective Chief Complaint: The fram meds you but on photal work you dendal pain "O"bjective: Until year by medical darkal. "A"ssessment Nursing Diagnosis: RN GIVEN as per SNP Pludeg "P"lan: Rx GIVEN as per SNP NP DDS SCHEDULED FOR SICK CALL WITH: MD RN NP DDS PATIENT EDUCATION AND ADDITIONAL INFO: SIGNATURE: ID# SAN DIEGO COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT DDF GBDF/EMDF LCDF SBDF SDCJ VDI |
| 2. NOT SEEN DUE TO: Court Visit Released No Show/Refused Other Rescheduled 3. Non-medical problem referred to: 4. Seen previously for same non-medical request, (tennis shoes, blankets, etc.). No further evaluation. 5. NO FURTHER COMPLAINTS. Patient advised to submit another Sick Call Request Slip if problem recurs. "S"ubjective Chief Complaint: The fam meds up to the photal water of problem recurs. "O"bjective: Water fam medical destal. "A"ssessment Nursing Diagnosis: Roley ("P"lan: Rx GIVEN as per SNP DISCHEDULED FOR SICK CALL WITH: MD RN NP DDS Psych/Mental Health Specialist Other DATIENT EDUCATION AND ADDITIONAL INFO: SIGNATURE: ID# |
| 2. NOT SEEN DUE TO: Court Visit Released No Show/Refused Other Rescheduled 3. Non-medical problem referred to: 4. Seen previously for same non-medical request, (tennis shoes, blankets, etc.). No further evaluation. 5. NO FURTHER COMPLAINTS. Patient advised to submit another Sick Call Request Slip if problem recurs. "S"ubjective Chief Complaint: The pain medical meds up to the photol water upon denial pain "O"bjective: Until per by medical datal. "A"ssessment Nursing Diagnosis: Photogo "P"lan: Rx GIVEN as per SNP Psych/Mental Health Specialist Other Psych/Mental Health Specialist Other DATIENT EDUCATION AND ADDITIONAL INFO: SIGNATURE: ID# SAN DIEGO COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT DDF GBDF/EMDF LCDF SBDF SDCJ VDI MEDICAL SERVICES DIVISION |
| 2. NOT SEEN DUE TO: Court Visit Released No Show/Refused Other Rescheduled 3. Non-medical problem referred to: 4. Seen previously for same non-medical request, (tennis shoes, blankets, etc.). No further evaluation. 5. NO FURTHER COMPLAINTS. Patient advised to submit another Sick Call Request Slip if problem recurs. "S"ubjective Chief Complaint: The fair meds was on phouls were you dend pain "O"bjective: ustil peur by medical datal. "A"ssessment Nursing Diagnosis: Rull95 "P"lan: Rx GIVEN as per SNP Pych/Mental Health Specialist Other DATIENT EDUCATION AND ADDITIONAL INFO: SIGNATURE: ID# SAN DIEGO COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT DDF GBDF/EMDF LCDF SBDF SDCJ VDF MEDICAL SERVICES DIVISION SICK CALL REQUEST Patient's Name: |

| DUNTY COURTHOUSE, 220 W. BROADWAY, SAN DIEGO, CA 92101-3814 DE COUNTY DIVISION, 325 S. MELROSE DR., VISTA, CA 92081-6695 SOUNTY DIVISION, 250 E. MAIN ST., EL CAJON, CA 92020-3941 DUTH COUNTY DIVISION, 500 3RD AVE., CHULA VISTA, CA 91910-5649 | MICHAEL M. RODDY Clerk of the Superior Court January 26, 2009 |
|--|--|
| PLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA VS. RYL DUNSMORE \(\text{DARRYL LEE DUNSMORE} \) DEFENDANT | MH MH 102411 CRIMINAL CASE NUMBER M042209 |
| ORDER FINDING DEFENDANT MENTALLY COMPETENT (PC 1370) | CS 218128 01; CS 215653 01 BBA96501; BBA01401 |

loubt having arisen as to the defendant's mental competency during the pendency of the proce adant having been certified to the Superior Court for a determination of the question; the matter having come before the t this date, therefore,

After examination and hearing, consideration of testimony and written reports of the examiners, the Court finds the ndant mentally competent.

DERED that the defendant be remanded to the San Diego Superior Court, South County Division, the court in which ina charges are pending.

ring type READINESS CONFERENCE on 1-29-09 at 8:30AM, in Department 16.

itional Hearing: Hearing type PRELIMINARY HEARING on 2-4-09 at 8:30AM. in Department 16.

3 FURTHER ORDERED that the Sheriff of San Diego County deliver the defendant to said hearings.

le: January 26, 2009

HONORABLE FREDERICK

Judge of the Superior Court

EPLEY, SELENA

rill (2)

CLERK'S CERTIFICATE

The foregoing is a full, true and correct copy of the original on file in this office.

> MICHAEL M. RODDY CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT

JUDGMENT OF MENTAL INCOMPETENCY AND ORDER FOR COMMITMENT Pages 0 = FINE

UPCT MH-23(Rev. 3-06)

| SECTION 1 Complete this information to request medical attention. | | |
|---|--|--|
| Print Name: Darry Donsmore Bkg #: 9701989 Housing Unit: 60 DOB: 9/1267 | | |
| Medical Services GBDF EMDF VDF I am requesting Mental Health Services SDCJ LCDF Other | | |
| Reason for request for health services: For Orale with Toom Can be | | |
| I authorize and request the San Diego County Sheriff's Medical and Mental Health Services, its physicians, psychiatrists, dentists contracted agents, and medical personnel to administer and perform any and all medical and dental examinations, treatments, and diagnostic procedures deemed advisable or necessary. | | |
| I understand that the Sheriff's Department shall charge me \$3.00 for any routine sick call visit that I initiate and that this charge will be deducted from my account during the current or future stays in jail. I also understand that I shall not be denied medical care if I am unable to pay the \$3.00 fee. | | |
| Signed: Date: 77709 | | |
| SECTION 2 FOLLOW-UP INFORMATION | | |
| (This section is to be completed by Medical Staff Only) Charge: Yes No | | |
| Authorized signatureID#Date:Charge posted byDate | | |
| If no charge, explain: Amount collected: \$\[\] \$3.00 \[\]\$ | | |
| SECTION 3 Date Request Received: Date Seen: | | |
| 1. SEEN IN HUR Chart reviewed 2. NOT SEEN DUE TO: Court Visit Released No Show/Refused Other Rescheduled 3. Non-medical problem referred to: 4. Seen previously for same non-medical request, (tennis shoes, blankets, etc.). No further evaluation. 5. NO FURTHER COMPLAINTS. Patient advised to submit another Sick Call Request Slip if problem recurs. "S"ubjective Chief Complaint: | | |
| "O"bjective: | | |
| "A"ssessment Nursing Diagnosis: | | |
| "P"lan: Rx GIVEN as per SNP CHEDULED FOR SICK CALL WITH: MD NP DDS Psych/Mental Health Specialist Other | | |
| PATIENT EDUCATION AND ADDITIONAL INFO: | | |
| SIGNATURE: ID#_3575 | | |
| | | |
| SAN DIEGO COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT MEDICAL SERVICES DIVISION SICK CALL REQUEST DDF GBDF/EMDF LCDF SBDF SDCJ VDF Patient's Name: | | |
| Form J212 Rev 11/01 Double (MM-DD-YY) | | |

09-50026-mg Doc 13499 Filed 10/02/15 Entered 10/13/15 12:48:45 Main Document

SAN DIEG

| 1 11Cd 10/02/13 | Main Document |
|-----------------------------|---------------|
| Pg 79 of 81 | |
| O COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTME | NT - U |
| DETENTION FACILITIES | 017 |

| (PETICION DEL REO) |
|--|
| SECTION I Complete the following information: (Llene la siguiente información) Facility: |
| SECTION II Refer to instructions on the back of this form. Select one of the following: Refierase a las instrucciones al revez de esta forma. Seleccione uno de los siguientes. |
| I have a Request for the following: (Tengo una Petición a lo siguiente): |
| Need Address to where |
| Bheriff Kullender, Bill Gore Recieve Mail |
| Thank You |
| Signature: Date and Time: 7/23/09 3 PM (Firma) Date and Time: 7/23/09 3 PM (Fecha y hora) |
| SECTION III RESPONSE BY DETENTION FACILITY STAFF ONLY |
| Forwarded to: Date: Time: |
| 9621 Thidgehaven CT. San Diego CA 92123-2222 |
| San Bigs Of 12123266 |
| |
| Completed by: Date: |

INSTRUCTIONS FOR USE OF THIS FORM

1. REQUESTS

You may use this form when you have a request that has not been satisfied by speaking with the housing deputy.

INSTRUCCIONES PARA EL USO DE ESTA FORMA

1. PETICIONES

Usted puede usar esta forma cuando tenga algún próblema o petición que no fué resuelta por el Deputy de Piso.

JOBS PAGO

JIMS - E000008 09-50026-mg Doc 13499 Filed 10/02/15 our Entered 10/13/15 12:48:45 Main Document Page: 1 of 2

Detention Service Po 81 bf Striff Facilities

Inmate Grievance Report Grievance # 94002062



Run Date: 22-DEC-2009

Run Time: 07:42

Grievance Num: 94002062 Grievance Dt: 18-DEC-09 Booknum: 9701989 DUNSMORE, DARRYL

Subject1: OTHR

Subject2:

Subject3:

Subject4:

Fac: 1 Area: 7 Hu: B Location: SDCJ 7B

Summary: Inmate grievance is complaining about the time he is released from disciplinary isolation/lockdown status. Inmate believes he should be released at 0230 hours, instead of the hearing report time of 2300 on 12-18-09

#94024402

Action Dt: 18-DEC-09 Action Ofcr Name: LATIMER

Action Taken: I asked Sgt Storton to print up the hearing report from Sgt. Zucker. I gave the inmate the hearing report and explained the situation to the inmate.

Narrative Text:

N/A